University of New England DUNE: DigitalUNE

Case Report Papers

Physical Therapy Student Papers

12-1-2014

Pre-Operative Outpatient Physical Therapy Of A Torn Rotator Cuff And Suspected Nerve Injury Caused By Anterior Shoulder Dislocation: A Case Report

Taylor LaCouture University of New England

Follow this and additional works at: http://dune.une.edu/pt studcrpaper



Part of the Physical Therapy Commons

© 2014 Taylor LaCouture

Recommended Citation

LaCouture, Taylor, "Pre-Operative Outpatient Physical Therapy Of A Torn Rotator Cuff And Suspected Nerve Injury Caused By Anterior Shoulder Dislocation: A Case Report" (2014). Case Report Papers. 4. http://dune.une.edu/pt_studcrpaper/4

This Course Paper is brought to you for free and open access by the Physical Therapy Student Papers at DUNE: DigitalUNE. It has been accepted for inclusion in Case Report Papers by an authorized administrator of DUNE: DigitalUNE. For more information, please contact bkenyon@une.edu.

1	Pre-Operative Outpatient Physical Therapy of a Torn Rotator
2	Cuff and Suspected Nerve Injury Caused by Anterior Shoulder Dislocation:
3	A Case Report
4	Taylor LaCouture, B.S., CMT
5	
6	DPT student at University of New England
7	716 Stevens Ave.
8	Portland, ME 04103
9	tlacouture@une.edu
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	The patient signed an informed consent allowing the use of medical information for this report
17	and received information on the institutions' policies regarding the Health Insurance Portability
18	and Accountability Act
19	
20	
21	The Author acknowledges Mike Fillyaw, PT, MS for assistance with case report
22	conceptualization, and Rebecca Tamaki, DPT for supervision and assistance with the patients'
23	plan of care

24 **Abstract:**

27

28

29

30

31

33

34

36

40

46

48

25 **Background and purpose**:

26 Rotator cuff repair surgeries are very common and there are many different post-operative

rehabilitation protocols available, but there is little to no literature on pre-operative therapy. The

purpose of this case report is to investigate the effectiveness of pre-operative passive range of

motion (ROM), joint mobilization and exercises for a patient with both a torn rotator cuff and a

suspected neuropraxic peripheral nerve injury.

Case Description:

32 The patient was a healthy 47-year-old male with no prior medical or surgical history. He was

referred to outpatient physical therapy for pre-operative joint mobilization and exercise for his

left shoulder, which he anteriorly dislocated in a snowboarding accident. He also reported

progressive loss of function and sensation in his left distal upper extremity (UE), which began 1-

2 days after his accident. He was seen for four physical therapy sessions including the initial

evaluation prior to undergoing rotator cuff surgery.

38 **Outcomes**:

39 The patient reported decreased pain, and improved sensation and demonstrated improved motor

control of his left distal UE; but showed little measurable improvement in the QuickDASH

41 functional outcome measure during the short time before his rotator cuff surgery.

42 **Discussion**:

43 Although the patient was only seen for a short period of time, and demonstrated little

44 improvements on the QuickDASH, pre-operative physical therapy may still be effective for

45 rotator cuff repairs. The patient reported decreased pain levels and improved motor control and

sensation of his left distal UE. He also reported feeling less anxious about his surgery and better

47 prepared for post-operative rehabilitation.

Word count: 3,446

Background and Purpose:

Out of all the joints in the body, the glenohumeral (GH) joint has the greatest amount of mobility. This makes the GH joint highly susceptible to instability and injury. It is one of the most frequently dislocated joints; with the overall incidence rate in the US being 23.9 per 100,000 persons-year and 48.3% of those injuries occurring during sports or recreation. Hayes et al. Paper are many injuries associated with traumatic shoulder dislocations are in the anterior direction. There are many injuries associated with traumatic anterior shoulder dislocation, including rotator cuff tears, greater tuberosity fractures and neurological deficits. A study of the prevalence of neurological deficits, greater tuberosity fractures and rotator cuff injuries associated with a traumatic anterior GH dislocation found that the risk of neurological deficit was greater in patients who also had a greater tuberosity fracture or rotator cuff injury.

The two main treatment options for rotator cuff injuries are surgical repair with physical rehabilitation afterwards, or purely conservative rehabilitation. This decision is usually based on the age and general health of the individual, their occupation and/or hobbies.

There are numerous post-surgical rehabilitation protocols. Most of which have the same basic layout but differ in the exercises used and how and when they progress the patient through the rehabilitation process. A review of the current concepts and evidence based guidelines on rehabilitation after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair by van der Meijden et al.⁵ concluded that a majority of the rehabilitation protocols were not based solely on scientific rationale, but rather relied heavily on expert opinion and clinical experience.

There is some literature on whether pre-operative physical rehabilitation is beneficial for total knee and total hip replacements. One systematic review done by Gawel et al.⁶ found it to improve patient satisfaction and pain reduction, but the functional outcome measures used

showed mixed results. There has been very little literature done on the effect of pre-operative physical rehabilitation for rotator cuff repairs.

This patient was selected for a case report because he had multiple injuries from a traumatic anterior dislocation of his left shoulder. These complicating injuries included: torn rotator cuff muscles, ligamentous and capsular damage and signs and symptoms indicative of neuropraxic peripheral nerve damage. A case report on this patient will be beneficial to the body of knowledge in physical therapy (PT) by taking a closer look at the effectiveness of pre-surgical PT interventions for rotator cuff tears with a suspected neuropraxic nerve injury. Despite extensive searches, there is little to no published literature to be found on pre-operative physical therapy intervention for rotator cuff injuries.

The purpose of this case report is to investigate the use of pre-operative passive range of motion (PROM), joint mobilization and exercises for a patient with both a torn rotator cuff and a suspected neuropraxic peripheral nerve injury.

Patient History

"BC" was a healthy 47-year-old male with no prior medical or surgical history. He was referred to outpatient physical therapy for pre-operative joint mobilization of his left shoulder and an exercise program for weak muscles, one month after he anteriorly dislocated his left shoulder in a snowboarding accident. He stated that he was unable to lift his left arm to shoulder level after the medical team reduced his dislocated shoulder in the ER and had a progressive loss of function and sensation in his left distal upper extremity (UE), which began 1-2 days after his accident. An X-ray was done on his left shoulder both pre- and post-shoulder reduction to rule out any fractures, and to ensure proper relocation of humeral head in the glenoid fossa. One week after his injury an MRI confirmed a full supraspinatus tear, a moderate-high grade

subscapularis tear, a moderate infraspinatus tear and a subluxed biceps brachii tendon. BC was already scheduled for rotator cuff repair surgery three weeks after the initial physical therapy evaluation.

BC reported his son was living with him and they were in the middle of remodeling his entire house. Prior to his injury, he worked as a heavy machinery electrician/mechanic working on the northern slope (oil field), but due to his inability to functionally use his left arm, he was unable to work. He reported that he lead an active lifestyle prior to his injury, and enjoyed mountain biking, snowboarding and camping.

BC's chief complaint was pain and inability to functionally use his left arm and hand. He could not lift his left arm, grip with his left hand, flex first three digits; he also had sharp shooting pain into left forearm and hand and reported altered sensation in left hand and forearm. He had had recently regained some motor control in his fourth and fifth digits. BC's primary goal was to regain functional use of his left arm and hand, so he could return to work and be able to participate in his hobbies and recreational activities. Some of his secondary goals include decreased pain and increased strength and range of motion (ROM) of his left UE.

Systems review

The results from the review of the cardiopulmonary system were within normal limits (WNL) for heart rate, respiratory rate and blood pressure. There were apparent abnormalities in the musculoskeletal system which included: limited gross ROM, marked weakness of left UE and a slight subluxation of left GH joint. The abnormalities found during the review of the neuromuscular system were impaired motor control of the left hand and impaired sensation of left distal UE. The integumentary system was intact with no apparent abnormalities.

Clinical Impression 1

Due to the mechanism of injury, the presentation of symptoms and the imaging results, it was clear that BC had a rotator cuff tear of his left shoulder; and possible neuropraxic nerve injury. The extent of nerve damage was undetermined at the time of the initial physical therapy evaluation. Further examination was warranted, including: manual muscle testing of his shoulder girdle, elbow, wrist and digits, grip strength, goniometric measurements of impaired PROM and active range of motion (AROM), deep tendon reflexes (DTR) at the biceps brachii, brachioradialis and triceps brachii, the QuickDASH functional outcome measure (Appendix A), and clinical special tests assessing the biceps brachii. It was also decided that BC would benefit from a referral to a neurologist for a nerve conduction study (NCS) to localize the peripheral nerve lesion, to aid in determining the correct plan of care.

BC was a good candidate for physical therapy. Due to the upcoming rotator cuff surgery it was decided that he would benefit most from: manual therapy and PROM of his left glenohumeral joint, stabilization exercises of the shoulder girdle and from exercises aimed at specifically strengthening the muscles in his left distal UE.

Examination/test and measures

Muscle performance

UE strength was assessed using the standard manual muscle testing (MMT) techniques as described by Kendall. This method of testing has been shown by Cuthbert and Goodheart to be valid and reliable. The standard 0 - 5/5 scale was used in grading the muscle strength. BC scored a 5/5 on all right UE muscles tested, and demonstrated marked weakness for the left UE (Table 1).

141 Range of Motion BC's UE ROM was tested initially through observation; any noticeable impairment was then 142 tested using standard goniometric measurements as described by Norkin and White. 9 BC 143 demonstrated full active and passive ROM of the right UE and significant impairments of the left 144 UE (Table 1). Goniometry has been shown to be both reliable and valid method for assessing 145 $ROM.^{10}$ 146 **Reflex integrity** 147 DTR of the biceps brachii, brachioradialis, and triceps brachii were assessed using the technique 148 described by Gutman and Schonfeld. 11 The triceps brachii DTR elicited a brisk response, and 149 the biceps brachii and brachioradialis DTR were normal (table 1). DTR has been described to be 150 a useful tool in determining reflex integrity. 12 DTR results may be considered clinically 151 significant if asymmetries are present between bilateral reflexes.¹³ 152 **Sensory integrity** 153 Due to lack of time, only a quick preliminary assessment of sensory integrity could be done 154 during the initial evaluation. A tactile localization assessment was done using techniques 155 described by Gutman and Schonfeld. 11 Tactile localization has been described as a useful 156 physical examination technique in confirming the extent nerve damage and may be helpful in 157 determining the location of a peripheral nerve lesion. ¹³ The patient demonstrated impaired 158 sensation in his left distal UE. 159 160 **Functional outcome measure** The UE specific functional outcome measure used was the QuickDASH. This has been shown 161 by Gummesson et al.¹⁴ to be a reliable and valid measure based on comparison to the full-length 162

163

DASH questionnaire.

Orthotic, protective and supportive device

The patient was wearing a shoulder sling to alleviate pain and prevent further stretching of intact ligamentous and capsular tissues and further subluxation.

Pain

BC's pain levels were assessed throughout the exam using the numerical pain rating scale; which has been shown by Williamson and Hoggart¹⁵ to be a valid and reliable way to measure pain levels, with careful interpretation of the results. At rest, the patient reported only minimal to moderate pain (1-3/10). Any AROM of left UE increased pain levels between 6-7/10, and PROM of left UE past normal mid-range elicited increased pain levels between 4-5/10 (Table 1).

Special tests

Due to time constraints only a few special tests were performed. These special tests were; the Popeyes' bicep, Speeds' bicep test and Yergasons' biceps test (Table 1). These tests have been described as useful clinical evaluation tools to confirm bicep brachii pathology when performed in accordance with the technique described by Magee. ^{13(p.308-309)}

Clinical impressions 2

The patient had no prior medical issues and reported having a healthy active lifestyle. The impairments found during the system review were decreased strength and ROM of his left UE, impaired sensation and motor control of left distal UE, and pain in the patients left UE; the rest of the systems review findings were unremarkable. The tests and measures performed confirmed the findings in the system review. The results of the systems review and the test and measures were congruent with commonly seen impairments of rotator cuff injuries, as well as peripheral nerve damage.

BC's impairments include: impaired glenohumeral joint mobility, impaired left shoulder girdle ROM and strength, impaired strength of left proximal UE and impaired motor function and altered sensation of left distal UE, wrist and hand. His functional limitations include: the inability to independently complete many of his ADL's requiring the use of his left UE, such as dressing, cooking, home maintenance, or any activity requiring the use of two hands. His disabilities include the inability to work, or participate in any of the his usual recreational activities or hobbies. Many of the anticipated goals were directed towards regaining functional ROM, and strength of his left UE.

Diagnosis

- Pattern 4D: Impaired Joint Mobility, Motor Function, Muscle Performance, and Range of
- 197 Motion Associated With Connective Tissue Dysfunction
- Pattern 5F: Impaired Peripheral Nerve Integrity and Muscle Performance Associated With
- 199 Peripheral Nerve Injury

Prognosis

Based on the patient's health and activity level, it is likely that the patient will have close to a full recovery of shoulder function after his rotator cuff surgery. However, with the peripheral nerve damage the patient sustained in the accident it is difficult to determine whether or not the patient will regain full functional use of his left UE. The patient's initial prognosis is somewhat guarded due to the possibility that the patient will not regain full motor control and sensation of his left distal UE. Incomplete recover of motor control is common with moderate to severe nerve injury is common.¹⁶ With peripheral nerve injuries the amount of nerve regeneration and functional reconnections is dependent on the age of the patient, the nerve trunk affected, the site and type of lesion, the type and delay of surgical care and the distance over which axons must regrow.¹⁷

Even though the patients initial prognosis is guarded, the patient is showing signs of nerve regeneration (e.g. intermittent burning and pain sensation of left distal UE, and slight increases in AROM in most of his digits). It is suspected that the nerve damage was minimal to moderate, which may indicate an increased likelihood the patient will regain functional use of his left arm and be able to return to work. Short and long term goals The short and long term goals were created immediately after the initial examination. The goals do not address all of the impairments that BC presented with, because he was scheduled for rotator cuff surgery three weeks after he started therapy. The goals primarily focused on improving strength and functional movement of his left distal UE, and improving PROM of his left shoulder. The short term goals that were made for the patient include: 1) The patient will be able to hold a two pound weight in his left hand while it is pronated within 2 weeks 2) The patient will independent in a HEP that strengthens his left hand and arm and increases PROM his left shoulder within 2 weeks so patient will be physically prepared for surgery. 3) The patient will demonstrate a grip strength of 40 pounds with his left hand within 4 weeks The long term goals that were made for the patient include: 1) The patient will demonstrate a grip strength of 40 pounds with his left hand within 4 weeks to improve functional use of his left hand. 2) The patient will demonstrate a grip strength of 80 pounds with his left hand within 8 weeks to

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

be able to return to work when his shoulder heals from surgery.

3) The patient will achieve 180 deg. PROM in left shoulder flexion and abduction within 4 weeks so patient will be better prepared for surgery.

Interventions

Coordination, communication, documentation:

Documentation included: BC's current status and progress the he has made, what procedural interventions were used during the therapy sessions, how the patient tolerated the therapy session and/or the home exercise program, any patient education given during the therapy session and any progression of the exercises preformed during the therapy session or at home.

The communication was with the patients' primary care physician and the patients' surgeon to inform them of the patients' progress throughout the patients' plan of care. BC was only seeing PT, so there was no need for any coordination with other therapies.

Patient/client/family – related instructions:

BC was instructed on strengthening and stretching exercises to do at home, number of repetitions/sets per day, and the proper form/technique to use to perform the exercises. He was also educated on what to expect with rotator cuff surgery and the prognoses of that surgery.

Procedural interventions:

BC was scheduled for 1-hour PT sessions two times a week for three weeks. He missed one session and was 30-minutes late to his last session. This resulted in him having only four full therapy sessions including the initial evaluation and only half a session for the re-evaluation.

From the evaluation and diagnosis it was decided that strengthening exercises of the GH joint musculature would not be beneficial until after the surgery. The focus of therapy was to: improve PROM and capsular mobility/tissue pliability of BC's left GH joint, strengthen his scapular stabilizing muscles and distal UE musculature, and improve over all function of his left

UE (Table 2). The procedural interventions used consisted of manual therapy, soft tissue mobilization, therapeutic exercise and neuromuscular re-education.

Manual therapy Techniques and Soft Tissue Mobilization

The joint mobilization techniques utilized were short arm traction, posterior and inferior glides and oscillations (grade 1-2) of the GH joint, with the primary purpose of decreasing pain levels and muscle guarding as described by Kaltenborn et al. ¹⁸ Scapular mobilization in all directions was also used to improve AROM of the shoulder joint complex, and PROM (within limits of pain) of the GH joint was utilized to increase tissue laxity around the GH joint.

Soft tissue mobilization was performed on the left distal UE to minimize swelling. Due to BCs decreased AROM of his left distal UE he had an increased risk of swelling. It is believed that soft tissue mobilization can improve circulation and lymphatic flow for a short period of time. ¹⁹ Soft tissue mobilization was also performed on the Trapezius, levator scapulae, pectoralis major/minor, and rhomboids to decrease muscle guarding and pain.

Therapeutic Exercise and Neuromuscular Re-education

Strengthening exercises for the scapular stabilizing muscles and musculature that crosses the elbow, wrist and hand were used to improve the stability of the shoulder joint complex and restore functional strength and grip strength of the distal UE, respectively. The exercises prescribed initially consisted of no weight, high repetition AROM. Although AROM exercises will not speed up or improve peripheral nerve regeneration; it can improve the efficiency of the motor unit firing and after sometime result in muscle hypertrophy of the available muscles, which will result in better functional outcomes.²⁰

As BC improved, we initiated active assistive range of motion of his left shoulder using a pulley, and added hand dexterity exercises to work on improving the fine motor control. We also

added light resistance for some of the strengthening exercises of the wrist and intrinsic hand musculature, with the GH joint supported by resting the elbow and forearm on a table/mat. Stretching exercises were also included for wrist musculature, and extrinsic/intrinsic hand musculature to prevent contracture from the imbalance of motor control in the distal UE. The strengthening and stretching exercises were all included in a home exercise program once the patient demonstrated he could do them correctly and in a safe manner.

Outcome:

BC was seen for a total of five sessions including the initial evaluation and re-evaluation sessions over the 2½ weeks before his surgery. Due to the short duration of treatment, there was little measureable improvement shown by the QuickDASH functional outcome measure. The minimal detectable change and minimal importance difference for the Quick dash have been reported by Polson et al.²¹ to be 11 points and 19 points, respectively.

Although BC only had a change of 4 ½ points on the QuickDASH, he did report decreased pain and improved sensation and motor control of his left distal UE (Table 1). Based on the time between BCs' initial injury and when improvements in sensation and motor control of his left distal UE started to occur, it is likely that much of these improvements can be attributed to the peripheral nerve changes occurring.²⁰

The initial therapy goals for BC were made under the assumption that he would be returning for post-operative rehabilitation once he was cleared to do so by his surgeon.

Unfortunately, he was unable to return to our clinic for post-operative rehabilitation due to insurance issues. Due to the abrupt end to treatment BC was only able to accomplish one of the short term PT goals that were made for him. That being said, he did report feeling less anxious about his upcoming surgery and better prepared for rehabilitation process after surgery.

Discussion:

This case report describes one method of pre-operative PT for a patient with a rotator cuff. The primary purpose of treatment was to improve capsular mobility and surrounding tissue pliability to increase the likelihood of the surgery going smoothly and possibly improve the outcome and overall prognosis. Unfortunately, the patient was unable to return for post-operative rehabilitation; therefore, we were unable to determine the effectiveness of the pre-operative physical therapy for the long term outcome.

Due to the short time frame of treatment and the complicating comorbidity of the suspected neuropraxic nerve injury, BC demonstrated only minimal improvements on the QuickDASH and was unable to achieve most of the PT goals. However, the PT goals were made under the assumption that we would be seeing the patient for post-operative rehabilitation. This made the goals set for the patient unrealistic and unattainable for such a short duration of treatment.

At first glance, based solely on the measureable data and achievement of goals, it could seem that pre-operative PT for rotator cuff repair is not really effective. However, the patient did report decreased pain levels, improved motor control and sensation of his left distal UE, and feeling more prepared and less anxious about his upcoming rotator cuff repair.

The patient satisfaction and pain reduction found in this case study does coincide with findings of the systematic review done by Gawel et al.⁶ which looked at the effectiveness of preoperative treatment for total knee and hip replacements. One of the studies included in that review found that pre-operative exercise intervention prior to total joint arthroplasty can decrease the odds of discharge to an inpatient rehabilitation by 73%.²² Due to the reported effectiveness

and potential decreases in healthcare costs, further investigation of pre-operative PT for total joint arthroplasty and rotator cuff repair would be beneficial.

- 346 REFERENCES
- 1) Levangie PK, Norkin CC. Joint Structure and Function, A Comprehensive Analysis. F A
- Davis Company; 2011.
- 2) Zacchilli MA, Owens BD. Epidemiology of shoulder dislocations presenting to emergency
- departments in the United States. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2010;92(3):542-9.
- 351 3) Hayes K, Callanan M, Walton J, Paxinos A, Murrell GA. Shoulder instability: management
- and rehabilitation. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2002;32(10):497-509.
- 4) Robinson CM, Shur N, Sharpe T, Ray A, Murray IR. Injuries associated with traumatic
- anterior glenohumeral dislocations. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2012;94(1):18-26.
- 5) Van der meijden OA, Westgard P, Chandler Z, Gaskill TR, Kokmeyer D, Millett PJ.
- Rehabilitation after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair: current concepts review and evidence-
- 357 based guidelines. *Int J Sports Phys Ther*. 2012;7(2):197-218.
- 6) Gawel J, Brown S, Collins J, McCallum C. Does pre-operative physical therapy improve post-
- surgical outcomes of patients undergoing a total knee and/or total hip arthroplasty? A
- 360 systematic review. *Physiotherapy Practice & Research*. March 2013;34(1):9-20.
- 7) Kendall FP. Muscles, Testing and Function with Posture and Pain. Lippincott Williams &
- 362 Wilkins; 2005.
- 8) Cuthbert SC, Goodheart GJ. On the reliability and validity of manual muscle testing: a
- 364 literature review. *Chiropr Osteopat*. 2007;15:4
- 9) Norkin CC, White DJ. Measurement of Joint Motion, A Guide to Goniometry. F A Davis
- 366 Company; 2009.
- 367 10) Gajdosik RL, Bohannon RW. Clinical measurement of range of motion. Review of
- 368 goniometry emphasizing reliability and validity. *Phys Ther*. 1987;67(12):1867-72.

- 369 11) Gutman SA, Schonfeld AB. Screening Adult Neurologic Populations, A Step-by-step
- Instruction Manual. American Occupational Therapy Association, Incorporated; 2009.
- 371 12) Guide to Physical Therapist Practice. Second Edition. American Physical Therapy
- 372 Association. *Phys Ther*. 2001;81(1):9-746.
- 373 13) Magee DJ. Orthopedic Physical Assessment. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2013.
- 374 14) Gummesson C, Ward MM, Atroshi I. The shortened disabilities of the arm, shoulder and
- hand questionnaire (QuickDASH): validity and reliability based on responses within the full-
- length DASH. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2006;7(1):44.
- 377 15) Williamson A, Hoggart B. Pain: a review of three commonly used pain rating scales. J
- 378 *Clin Nurs*. 2005;14(7):798-804.
- 379 16) Burnett MG, Zager EL. Pathophysiology of peripheral nerve injury: a brief review.
- 380 *Neurosurg Focus*. 2004;16(5):E1.
- Navarro X, Vivó M, Valero-cabré A. Neural plasticity after peripheral nerve injury and
- regeneration. *Prog Neurobiol*. 2007;82(4):163-201.
- Kaltenborn TB, Evjenth O, Morgan D et al. Manual Mobilization of the Joints, The
- Kaltenborn Method of Joint Examination and Treatment. Orthopedic Physical Therapy; 2003.
- Fritz S. Mosby's Fundamentals of Therapeutic Massage. Mosby Incorporated; 2012.
- Robinson LR. Traumatic injury to peripheral nerves. *Muscle Nerve*. 2000;23(6):863-73.
- Polson K, Reid D, Mcnair PJ, Larmer P. Responsiveness, minimal importance difference
- and minimal detectable change scores of the shortened disability arm shoulder hand
- 389 (QuickDASH) questionnaire. *Man Ther*. 2010;15(4):404-7.

390	22)	Rooks DS, Huang J, Bierbaum BE, et al. Effect of preoperative exercise on measures of
391	func	ctional status in men and women undergoing total hip and knee arthroplasty. Arthritis
392	Rhe	um. 2006;55(5):700-8.
393		
394		
395		
396		
397		
398		
399		
400		
401		
402		
403		
404		
405		
406		
407		
408		
409		
410		
411		
412		

Table 1. Test and measures at initial evaluation and re-evaluation

Quick Dash (% - disab		··		b 1 .:				
	Initial Evalu	ation		Re-evaluation				
	95.45%			90.91%				
Grip Strength (measur	•							
	Initial Evalu	ation		Re-evaluation	on			
	Left – 25		Right – 85	Left – 7		Right – 105		
Manual Muscles testing	g (muscle gr	rades 1-5/5)	<u> </u>			1		
	Initial Evalu			Re-evaluation	on .			
Muscles tested	Left		Right	Left	, 11	Right		
Scapular retraction	4/5		5/5	+3/5		5/5		
Shoulder abduction	-2/5		5/5	-2/5		5/5		
Shoulder Flexion	+2/5		5/5	2/5		5/5		
Shoulder Ext. Rotation	+2/5		5/5	+2/5		5/5		
Shoulder Int. Rotation			5/5	3/5		5/5		
Elbow extension	+3/5		5/5	+3/5		5/5		
Elbow flexion	-4/5		5/5	-4/5		5/5		
Wrist extension	4/5		5/5	-4/5		5/5		
Wrist flexion	+3/5		NT	4/5		NT		
Finger flexion	+2/5		NT	-3/5		NT		
Finger extension	4/5		NT	4/5		NT		
Thumb abduction	-4/5		NT	-4/5		NT		
Thumb adduction	3/5		NT	+3/5		NT		
Thumb extension	4/5		NT	4/5		NT		
Thumb flexion	+3/5		NT	+3/5		NT		
Radial deviation	+3/5		NT	+3/5		NT		
Ulnar deviation	+3/5		NT	+3/5		NT		
Deep Tendon Reflex (0 - 3+)							
	Initial evalu	ation		Re-evaluation	on			
Reflex Tested	Left		Right	Left		Right		
C5-6 Biceps brachii	2+ - normal		NT	NT		NT		
C6 brachioradialis	2+ - normal		NT	NT		NT		
C7-8 Triceps brachii	3+ - brisk		NT	NT		NT		
Special Tests	ST - UIISK		111	μν1		111		
Special Tests	L							
	Initial Evalu	ation		Re-evaluation	on			
	Left		Right	Left		Right		
Popeyes' bicep	Observable	deformity	Normal	NT		NT		
Speeds' bicep	positive		negative	NT		NT		
Yergasons' bicep			negative	NT		NT		
Range of Motion (mea	sured in degr	rees)				•		
· ·	Initial Evalu			Re- evaluati	on			
		Left-passive	Right-active		Left-passive	Right-active		
Shoulder flexion	32	108*	WNL	40	115*	WNL		
Shoulder abduction	20	112*	WNL	30	130*	WNL		

Shoulder Ext. rotation	32	NT*	WNL	32	NT*	WNL		
(45 deg)								
Shoulder Int. rotation	20	NT*	WNL	20	NT*	WNL		
(45 deg)								
Elbow flexion	WNL	WNL	WNL	WNL	WNL	WNL		
Elbow extension	WNL	WNL	WNL	WNL	WNL	WNL		
Pain (0 -10/10 numeric	Pain (0 -10/10 numerical rating scale)							
	Initial Evaluation							
	Left-active	Left-passive	Right-active	Left-active	Left-passive	Right-active		
Reported pain levels	6-7/10	4-5/10	0/10	4-6/10	3-4/10	0/10		

^{*-} limited or not tested due to pain; NT= not tested; WNL= within normal limits; Ext. = external; Int. = internal

Table 2. Procedural Interventions

Therapy Session schedule	Additions made on session 3
10 minute warm up – bicycle ergometer/(patient preference) - To increase overall blood flow and warm up	
tissues	
GH mobilization (grade 1-2) - posterior/inferior/short arm traction	
Scapular mobilization - depression/elevation/retraction/ protraction	
PROM of GH joint (within limits of pain)	
AROM exercises - Finger - abduction/adduction/extension/flexion Wrist flexion/extension/ pronation/ supination Scapular clock - depression/elevation/protraction/ retraction bicep curls (hammer curls –wrist neutral) tricep extensions	 Added finger-tip to thumb dexterity exercises Added light resistance to wrist motions (with forearm supported on table)
Wrist and finger extensor/flexor stretches	- Added AAROM pulley exercises – flexion/abduction (left arm just along for the ride and within limits of pain)
STM of the left distal UE to control/reduce swelling and relax tight tissues	
STM of pectoral muscles, trapezius, levator scapulae and tissues surrounding the GH joint - to decrease muscle guarding and reduce pain and improve tissue pliability.	

STM – soft tissue mobilization; PROM – passive range of motion; AROM – active range of motion; AAROM – active assisted range of motion; GH - glenohumeral

QuickDASH OUTCOME MEASURE

INSTRUCTIONS

This questionnaire asks about your symptoms as well as your ability to perform certain activities.

Please answer every question, based on your condition in the last week, by circling the appropriate number.

If you did not have the opportunity to perform an activity in the past week, please make your *best estimate* of which response would be the most accurate.

It doesn't matter which hand or arm you use to perform the activity; please answer based on your ability regardless of how you perform the task.



QuickDASH

WORK MODULE (OPTIONAL)

The following questions ask about the impact of your arm, shoulder or hand problem on your ability to work (including nomemaking if that is your main work role).

Please indicate what your job/work is:__

 \mathbb{D} + do not work. (You may skip this section.)

Please circle the number that best describes your physical ability in the past week.

Did	you have any difficulty:	NO DIFFICULTY	MILD DIFFICULTY	MODERATE DIFFICULTY	SEVERE DIFFICULTY	UNABLE
1.	using your usual technique for your work?	1	2	3	4	5
2.	doing your usual work because of arm, shoulder or hand pain?	1	2	3	4	5
э.	doing your work as well as you would like?	1	2	3	4	5
4.	spending your usual amount of time doing your wo	rk? 1	2	3	4	5

SPORTS/PERFORMING ARTS MODULE (OPTIONAL)

The following questions relate to the impact of your arm, shoulder or hand problem on playing your musical instrument or sport or both. If you play more than one sport or instrument (or play both), please answer with respect to that activity which is most important to you.

Please indicate the sport or instrument which is most important to you:_

☐ I do not play a sport or an instrument. (You may skip this section.)

Please circle the number that best describes your physical ability in the past week.

Did	you have any difficulty:	NO DIFFICULTY	MILD DIFFICULTY	MÖDERATE DIFFICULTY	SEVERE DIFFICULTY	UNABLE
1.	using your usual technique for playing your instrument or sport?	1	2	3	4	5
2.	playing your musical instrument or sport because of arm, shoulder or hand pain?	1	2	3	4	5
3.	playing your musical instrument or sport as well as you would like?	1	2	3	4	5
4.	spending your usual amount of time practising or playing your instrument or sport?	1	2	3	4	5

SCORING THE OPTIONAL MODULES: Add up assigned values for each response; divide by

4 (number of items); subtract 1; multiply by 25.

An optional module score may not be calculated if there are any missing items

PANE Top Vision II

- CONTRACTOR FOR MALLON A STATE FROM A 150 OF THE RESERVED.

QuickDASH

Please rate your ability to do the following activities in the last week by circling the number below the appropriate response.

		NO DIFFICULTY	MILD DIFFICULTY	MODERATE Difficulty	SEVERE DIFFICULTY	UNABLE
1.	Open a tight or new ja:	1	2	3	4	5
2.	Do heavy household chores (e.g., wash walls, floors).	1	2	3	4	5
٥.	Carry a shopping bag or briofcasc.	1	2	2	4	5
4.	Wash your back.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	Use a knife to cut food.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	Recreational activities in which you take some force or impact through your arm, shoulder or hand (e.g., golf, hammering, tennis, etc.).	1	2	3	4	5

		NOT AT ALL	SLIGHTLY	MODERATELY	QUITE A BIT	EXTREMELY
7.	During the past week, to what extent has your arm, shoulder or hand problem interfered with your normal social activities with family, mends, neighbours or groups?	1	2	3	4	5
	,	NOT LIMITED AT ALL	SLIGHTLY LIMITED	MODERATELY LIMITED	VERY LIMITED	UNABLE
8.	During the past week, were you limited in your work or other regular daily activities as a result of your arm, shoulder or hand problem?	1	2	3	4	5
	use rate the severity of the following symptoms the last week. (circle number)	NONE	MILD	MODERATE	SEVERE.	EXTREME
9.	Arm, shoulder or hand pain.	1	2	3	4	5
10.	Tingling (pins and needles) in your arm, shoulder or hand.	1	2	3	4	5
		NO DIFFICULTY	MILD DIFFICULTY	MODERATE DIFFICULTY	SEVERE DIFFICULTY	SO MUCH DIFFICULTY F THAT I CAN'T SLEEP
11.	During the past week, how much difficulty have you had sleeping because of the pain in your arm, shoulder or hand? (circle number)	1	2	3	4	5

QuickDASH DISABILITY/SYMPTOM SCORE = (sum of n responses) - 1)x 25, where n is equal to the number of completed responses

A QualkDA5H score may not be calculated if there is greater than 1 missing item.