

12-2-2014

# Physical Therapy Management Of A Female Adolescent Softball Pitcher With Chronic Low Back And Hip Pain: A Case Report

Paige Friend

*University of New England*

Follow this and additional works at: [http://dune.une.edu/pt\\_studcrpaper](http://dune.une.edu/pt_studcrpaper)



Part of the [Physical Therapy Commons](#)

© 2014 Paige Friend

---

## Recommended Citation

Friend, Paige, "Physical Therapy Management Of A Female Adolescent Softball Pitcher With Chronic Low Back And Hip Pain: A Case Report" (2014). *Case Report Papers*. 6.

[http://dune.une.edu/pt\\_studcrpaper/6](http://dune.une.edu/pt_studcrpaper/6)

This Course Paper is brought to you for free and open access by the Physical Therapy Student Papers at DUNE: DigitalUNE. It has been accepted for inclusion in Case Report Papers by an authorized administrator of DUNE: DigitalUNE. For more information, please contact [bkenyon@une.edu](mailto:bkenyon@une.edu).

1 Physical Therapy Management of a Female Adolescent Softball Pitcher with Chronic  
2 Low Back and Hip Pain: A Case Report

3

4 Paige Elizabeth Friend, BS

5

6

7 P. Friend is a DPT student at the University of New England, 716 Stevens Avenue,  
8 Portland, Maine 04103.

9 All correspondences can be addressed to Paige Elizabeth Friend at [pfriend@une.edu](mailto:pfriend@une.edu)

10

11

12 The patient and her mother received information on the institution's policies regarding  
13 the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act and signed an informed consent  
14 allowing the use of medical information for this case report.

15

16

17

18 The author acknowledges Michael Fillyaw, PT, MS for support with editing and revisions  
19 of this report, Emily K Marotta, DPT for clinical support, and the participating patient  
20 and her mother for their willingness to contribute.

21

22

23

24 **Abstract**

25 *Background and Purpose:* Low back pain (LBP) is an epidemiological problem,  
26 particularly in Westernized countries, and is common among adolescents who participate  
27 in competitive sports. There are few comprehensive clinical trials addressing the  
28 prevalence of LBP in adolescent athletes and those in existence typically focus on only a  
29 few select sports. Physical therapy (PT) is shown to improve function and manage  
30 symptoms for adolescent athletes with muscle imbalance, hypermobility, and core  
31 weakness in outpatient rehabilitation, however there is a shortage of literature on young  
32 female pitchers with LBP. The purpose of this case report is to describe the PT  
33 management of an adolescent female pitcher with pain and functional deficits as a result  
34 of a repetitive motion contributing to the overuse of structures of the spine and hips.

35 *Case Description:* The patient is a 15 year-old female who demonstrates hip instability  
36 and hip and core weakness. As a result, she complains of LBP and left hip pain during  
37 her participation in cross country running, softball batting and pitching, and sitting for  
38 greater than 30 minutes. Interventions included therapeutic exercise, manual therapy,  
39 neuromuscular reeducation, electrical stimulation, and ice.

40 *Outcomes:* There was a decrease in pain and an increase in the patient's functional  
41 abilities from initial evaluation to discharge. She recovered the ability to participate in her  
42 chosen athletics, yet continued to have discomfort sitting for long periods of time.

43 *Discussion:* The patient was discharged to participate in her softball tournament as  
44 planned after 7 weeks of care. Her outcomes are consistent with current research that PT  
45 improves function and decreases symptoms of athletes who have overuse injuries.

46 Word count: 3,489

## 47 **Background and Purpose**

48 Background:

49 Low back pain (LBP) in young athletes who participate in sports requiring  
50 repetitive flexion/extension/rotation of the spine is common among females, especially  
51 during periods of rapid growth<sup>1</sup>. The etiology of LBP in children and adolescents is  
52 considerably different from the etiology of LBP in adult population. After ruling out  
53 more serious pathology such as malignancy, infection, or spondylolysis/  
54 spondylolisthesis, most cases of adolescent LBP are non-specific in nature and limit  
55 functional ability<sup>2</sup>. In a prospective study of adolescent athletes with LBP Schmidt et al.  
56 reported markedly higher prevalence rates of LBP at 1-year and throughout the lifetime  
57 in competitive athletes compared with age-matched controls<sup>3</sup>.

58 After an exhaustive literature review, no evidence was found discussing injuries  
59 in adolescent softball players. However, commonly reported injuries in NCAA women's  
60 softball included ankle ligament sprains, knee internal derangements, and overuse injuries  
61 of the shoulder and low back<sup>4</sup>. Further research was analyzed regarding ground reaction  
62 forces, kinematics, and muscle activation during NCAA windmill softball pitching. This  
63 data revealed as the windmill softball pitcher increased ball velocity, their vertical ground  
64 reaction forces also increased<sup>5</sup>. Based on the information collected by Oliver and  
65 Plummer on ground reaction forces, kinematics, and muscle activation during the  
66 windmill softball pitch, strength and conditioning of the gluteal muscle group bilaterally  
67 is crucial to preventing injury during this movement pattern<sup>5</sup>. This case report describes  
68 the examination, evaluation, and PT interventions for a female high school softball

69 pitcher with hip and core weakness, and bilateral hip hypermobility leading to low back  
70 and hip pain.

71

## 72 **History**

73 The patient was a 15 year-old Caucasian female. She was 5'10," and her body type  
74 would be considered ectomorphic. Her chief complaint was left sided LBP, which has  
75 been present for the past 18 months. Her pain was exacerbated with cross-country  
76 running and lessened when the season ended 3 months ago. In the past month her pain  
77 has been worse and more consistent. She took 3 weeks off from softball prior to initial PT  
78 evaluation, due to 9/10 pain with pitching and batting. Her medical history included  
79 attention deficit hyperactive disorder, asthma, and headaches. She denied a family history  
80 of LBP. Her mother took her to Boston Children's Hospital for x-rays and further tests,  
81 which were all negative. Initially she experienced only left sided LBP. She was  
82 experiencing bilateral lumbar and thoracic pain, and left hip pain. She describes her pain  
83 as sharp and shooting when swinging a bat or pitching. At rest she reports fairly constant  
84 throbbing pain and tightness. She denies radicular symptoms. She complains sitting has  
85 been more painful in the past month, and she is unable to sit through a full high school  
86 class period. She also reports difficulty sleeping, which has improved since she stopped  
87 pitching and batting. At the time of initial evaluation she was taking Aleve 2 times per  
88 day for 2 weeks and using moist heat to manage her symptoms. The patient and her  
89 mothers' goals for PT are to manage her symptoms, get her on a consistent strength and  
90 conditioning program, and allow her to pitch in an elite softball tournament, which will  
91 begin 7 weeks after start of care (SOC).

## 92 **Systems Review**

93 The systems review of this patient revealed that all systems were unimpaired except for  
94 the musculoskeletal and neuromuscular systems. Impairments of the musculoskeletal  
95 system included gross strength impairments of the core and hip, gross range of motion  
96 (ROM) impairments of the left greater than right hip, gross symmetry impairments  
97 including left greater than right sided laxity and poor muscle quality. Impairments of the  
98 neuromuscular system included decreased balance in unilateral stance, poor coordination  
99 and form during squatting, 4/10 pain in the left hip and low back during locomotion, and  
100 compensatory body mechanics during transfers and locomotion.

101

## 102 **Clinical Impressions 1**

103 The patient's presentation of pain, musculoskeletal, and neuromuscular impairments is  
104 consistent with her medical diagnosis of LBP and ilio-tibial band tightness. The moderate  
105 to severe nature of her back and hip pain led to concern about possible malignancy,  
106 infection, spondylolisthesis, labral tear, impingement, or other pathology of the spine  
107 and/or hip. Further examination was performed at Boston Children's Hospital and  
108 infection, tumor, and fracture were ruled out as causes for LPB. Based on the negative  
109 nature of all additional testing, the patient does not require any additional referrals at this  
110 time. The patient was admitted to PT to undergo testing for ROM, strength, functional  
111 abilities, and to rule out differential diagnoses. The patient was a good candidate for a  
112 case report, as the nature of her injury challenged the decision making process including  
113 the need to determine the most appropriate interventions in time to allow her to  
114 participate in her softball tournament.

## 115 **Examination**

### 116 Pain

117 Pain was assessed using a numeric pain rating scale, which has been shown to be  
118 valid and reliable measure to assess the patient's perception of low back pain<sup>6</sup>. This  
119 measure was important to assess the patient's symptoms.

### 120 Range of Motion

121 Spine ROM was tested with the patient in standing using goniometric measurements  
122 following procedures outlined in *Measurement of Joint Motion: A Guide to Goniometry*  
123 *4<sup>th</sup> Edition*, which have been shown to be reliable and valid measurements of assessing  
124 the joint range of motion<sup>7,8</sup>. ROM was measured with the patient in supine for hip  
125 flexion, external rotation (ER), internal rotation (IR), abduction, adduction, and knee  
126 extension and hip extension was measured in prone following reliable and valid  
127 procedures outlined in the same text<sup>7,8</sup>.

### 128 Manual Muscle Testing

129 Manual muscle testing (MMT) was performed in sitting for hip flexion, hip ER, hip  
130 IR, and knee extension, sidelying for hip abduction and adduction, and prone for hip  
131 extension following procedures outlined in *Muscles: Testing and Function, with Posture*  
132 *and Pain*, which have been shown to be reliable and valid measurements to assess muscle  
133 strength<sup>9</sup>.

### 134 Special Tests

135 A variety of special tests were used to rule out differential diagnoses and gain  
136 information about what type of joint movements recreated the patient's symptoms. The  
137 slump test is a reliable and valid test used to assess for nerve entrapment.<sup>10,11</sup> The passive

138 straight leg raise (SLR) test is a reliable and valid test used to assess hamstring length.<sup>10,11</sup>

139 Lasegue's test is reliable and valid test to assess for dural tension This test was performed

140 in conjunction with the passive SLR test by adding internal rotation of the hip.<sup>11,12</sup> The

141 Thomas test is a reliable and valid test used to assess hip flexor tightness.<sup>10,11,13</sup> The Ober

142 test is a reliable and valid test used to assess for ITB tightness.<sup>11,14</sup> The hip impingement

143 test is a reliable and valid test to assess for impingement of structures of the hip.<sup>10,11</sup>

#### 144 Joint Mobilization

145 Joint mobilizations of the spine and hip were performed following procedures

146 outlined in *Manual Mobilization of the Joints Volumes I and II*. Joint mobilizations are

147 reliable and valid tools used to assess for joint mobility.<sup>15,16</sup>

#### 148 Palpation

149 Palpation of the structures of the hip and spine was performed with the patient in a

150 variety of positions following procedures from *Palpation Techniques: Surface Anatomy*

151 *for Physical Therapists*.<sup>17</sup>

#### 152 Outcome Measures

153 The patient filled out The Lower Extremity Functional Scale and Oswestry Disability

154 Index self-report questionnaires prior to her evaluation, which are reliable and valid

155 measures for assessing lower extremity functional abilities and the degree of disability

156 low back pain is causing respectively.<sup>18,19,20</sup>

#### 157 Functional Testing

158 Functional testing of the hip was performed, which included single-leg stance, deep

159 squat, and single leg squat to assess hip abductor function.<sup>21</sup>

160 Please refer to Table 1 for results of the initial evaluation.



161

162 **Clinical Impressions 2**

163 Evaluation:

164       The patient's core and hip weakness and hypermobility, along with the repetitive  
165 asymmetrical activity involved in pitching and batting, has likely lead to the impairments  
166 of LBP, hip pain, ITB tightness, and muscle asymmetries of the hips, back, and lower  
167 extremities. The patient has been playing through pain for 18 months, 5-6 days per week,  
168 which has likely made the asymmetries worse and led to compensatory strategies in order  
169 to continue participating in sports. Playing through pain has also led to muscle guarding,  
170 muscle tightness, and decreased mobility of the spine. These factors along with continued  
171 participation in sports has led to sensitivity and compression of the spine, which is  
172 leading to activity limitations including the inability of the patient to sit for prolonged  
173 periods of time and decreased volume of walking due to pain. The cross-country running  
174 also likely made the patient's symptoms worse due to larger compression forces through  
175 the spine. The patient is unable to sit through a 60 minutes class period and is unable to  
176 participate in softball and recreational activities. The patient continues to be a good  
177 candidate for a case report, as she has been playing through pain for a long period of time  
178 and is now under a time constraint to allow her to play in her tournament in 7 weeks.

179

180 Physical Therapy Diagnosis:

181       4C: Impaired muscle performance

182 Prognosis:

183           Based on age, activity level, motivation, family support, and progress since  
184    ceasing physical activity, the patient's prognosis for improvement with PT is good. The  
185    level of patient compliance with the rehabilitation program and allowing the appropriate  
186    amount of time for her body to recover will play a key role in the ability for the patient to  
187    make a full recovery to a symptom free state for sitting, ambulation, transfers, and  
188    participating in recreational activities of her choosing.

189

190    Place of Care:

191           The patient had a softball tournament set to take place 7 weeks after SOC in Europe.  
192    She planned to pitch and bat in this tournament regardless of her low back pain, even  
193    though it could lead to setbacks in the her rehabilitation. The patient did not appear  
194    willing to give up playing in the tournament. If she were willing to take a break from  
195    softball after her tournament until she were able to participate in a controlled high-level  
196    strength and conditioning program without symptoms, she would have a better prognosis.  
197    The plan of care involved the patient being seen two times per week over twenty 60-  
198    minute sessions of PT. Treatment included lumbar stabilization, hip stabilization, manual  
199    therapy on the hips and low back, passive and active stretching of the hips and back,  
200    modalities, patient education, and functional strengthening activities.

201

202    Procedural interventions:

203    Therapeutic exercise included AAROM (active assistive ROM), AROM (Active ROM),  
204    strength, and stabilization exercises. Neuromuscular Re-education included lumbar  
205    stabilization and education on pelvic neutral. Manual therapy included joint

206 mobilizations, soft tissue massage and muscle energy techniques. Therapeutic activities  
207 included functional training, posture, and body mechanics. Modalities that were used  
208 included moist heat, cold pack, and electrical stimulation. Other interventions will  
209 include instruction in home and gym programs.

210

211 Short Term Goals: In 3-4 weeks of SOC the patient will:

212 Be independent and compliant with a home exercise program to improve ROM,  
213 basic strengthening, and symptom management.

214 Be able to sit for 30 minutes with no symptoms in order to sit through a greater  
215 portion of her class periods.

216 Increase hamstring length by 10 degrees bilaterally and have a negative Thomas  
217 test to improve functional abilities.

218 Have full and pain free ROM of the spine to improve functional abilities.

219

220 Long Term Goals: In 8-10 weeks of SOC the patient will:

221 Be independent with a full home and gym hip and core strengthening and  
222 mobility program.

223 Be able to sit for greater than 60 minutes with no symptoms in order to sit through  
224 a whole class period.

225 Have no difficulty with ADLs to improve functional abilities.

226 Increase MMT by 1 full muscle grade for all hip and spine motions to improve  
227 functional abilities.

228 Be able to participate in recreational activities with no restrictions.

229

230 **Interventions**

231 Coordination, Communication, Documentation:

232           The patient and her mother sought the opinion of multiple doctors and related  
233 information from the testing at Boston Children's Hospital. The note from the referring  
234 physician requested PT to evaluate and treat, work on core strengthening, hip flexion,  
235 ITB stretching, hamstring stretching, and paraspinal strengthening. Scheduling was done  
236 with the patient's mother present. The patient and her mother were given a thorough  
237 explanation of the findings from the initial evaluation. Twice during the episode of care,  
238 the patient's mother phoned the clinic to get an update and inquire if the patient could  
239 pitch in various softball events. The patient's mother was very persistent, but ultimately  
240 took the advice of the rehabilitation team to not allow her daughter to participate so she  
241 would have a better chance of participating in the tournament in Europe. They decided to  
242 seek chiropractic care along with PT treatment. Lines of communication with the other  
243 professionals working with the patient were open throughout the episode of care.  
244 Communication with the referring physician included a 1-month progress report  
245 including ROM, strength, and functional improvements, as well as a request to continue  
246 treatment. Documentation for this patient was kept via electronic medical records and a  
247 written flow sheet of exercises.

248

249 Patient/client related instruction:

250           The patient was instructed to hold off from playing softball, running, or  
251 participating in any type of twisting activity. The patient and her mother were educated

252 on the findings of the initial evaluation including impairments, functional limitations,  
253 disabilities, plan of care, risk factors for developing a larger problem or dysfunction, and  
254 the benefits of a stretching and strengthening program. Patient education regarding proper  
255 technique with all exercises was provided throughout the episode of care.

256

257 Procedural interventions:

258         During the first visit, the patient was evaluated and given basic stretching and  
259 strengthening exercises were given to her to be done in a pain free range. Weekly  
260 interventions are listed in Table 2 and were focused on pain control, strength training, and  
261 neuromuscular reeducation to address the impairments noted during the initial evaluation.  
262 Progressions followed the strength training protocol established in the clinic and patient  
263 response to intervention. The program developed was individualized to assess the  
264 patient's pain, movement patterns, strength, and ROM. The active and resistance  
265 exercises and progressions used in this procedure were based on the protocols outlined in  
266 Kisner and Colby.<sup>22</sup> All stretches performed were performed in sets of 3 with 30-second  
267 holds. Progressions of repetitions included starting with 2 sets of 10 (2x10) repetitions,  
268 and were increased to 2x12, 2x15, 3x10, 3x12, 3x15. Then weight or difficulty of the  
269 activity was increased and repetitions were decreased. Timed activities began with 3 sets  
270 of 30-second holds and were progressed by 5 seconds per visit up to 1 minute. The above  
271 guidelines for repetitions and length of holds are based on The American College of  
272 Sports Medicines standards and guidelines.<sup>23</sup>

273         During the first week moist heat was used to heat up muscle tissues prior to  
274 physical therapy interventions. Once the patient's pain levels decreased, a warm up on a

275 stationary bike, set on a hill program with level of difficulty determined by the patient,  
276 and a dynamic warm up were performed in order to heat up muscle tissues and allow the  
277 neuromuscular system to become engaged prior to performing more complex tasks. Any  
278 time there was pain with an activity, the patient was instructed to discontinue that  
279 activity, which explains why certain activities were not performed at each visit. Greater  
280 increases in intensity and repetitions occurred after the 6<sup>th</sup> visit when the patient was no  
281 longer having pain with any of the therapeutic exercises she was performing. It was not  
282 until the 7<sup>th</sup> visit that more aggressive core strengthening exercises were added to the  
283 patient's exercise program. The patient responded well to these exercises and reported  
284 decreased levels of discomfort after they were initiated. Further core stabilization  
285 exercises were added the following visit, including double arm D2 PNF pattern exercises  
286 with resistance in order to simulate the twisting motion of the core that occurs with  
287 batting and pitching.<sup>24</sup> Verbal cues for core activation were important for gaining the  
288 patient's focus on this muscle group upon introduction of each new core stabilization  
289 activity. On the 9<sup>th</sup> visit the patient was given a comprehensive strength and conditioning  
290 log. This log included exercises to be done on alternating days. Each day included an  
291 equal distribution of core stabilization and hip strengthening exercises along with  
292 stretches and a warmup. The patient consistently attended scheduled PT visits and  
293 appeared to be compliant with her home exercise program.

294         During each visit, the patient received about 10-15 minutes of soft tissue massage  
295 to the thoracic spine, lumbar spine, gluteal region, and lateral quadriceps.<sup>24</sup> The focus and  
296 duration of the soft tissue massage was based on the patient's symptoms that day.  
297 Posterior-anterior (PA) passive accessory intervertebral joint mobilizations (PAIVMs) of

298 the lumbar spine were initiated during the first visit and continued until the 8<sup>th</sup> visit when  
299 she no longer had pain in this area.<sup>24</sup> PAIVMs were initially grade I and II and progressed  
300 to grade III during the 5<sup>th</sup>-8<sup>th</sup> visits.<sup>15</sup> Hip joint mobilizations were performed during visit  
301 3 and were discontinued after this visit, as they did not seem to make a difference in the  
302 patient's symptoms. Ice was used during the first visit to decrease inflammation.  
303 Electrical stimulation procedures included 15 minutes of quad-polar interferential current  
304 (IFC) treatment at a frequency of 80-150 Hz in conjunction with ice. This treatment was  
305 performed during visits 2-7 secondary to pain and muscle spasm. The use of electrical  
306 stimulation is supported by recent literature exploring reduction chronic, non-specific low  
307 back pain.<sup>25</sup>

308

### 309 **Outcomes**

310       Upon initial evaluation the patient reported pain that restricted her from  
311 participating in recreational activities and sitting through full class periods. By the last  
312 treatment session, prior to the patient's departure for Europe, the patient had achieved all  
313 of her short and long term goals, except increasing MMT by one full muscle grade for all  
314 hip and spine motions and being able to participate in recreational activities with no  
315 restrictions. Although her MMT grades were not one full muscle grade higher for all hip  
316 and spine motions, she had made progress in terms of strength and her functional abilities  
317 were improved to a point where she felt she would be able to participate in her tournament.  
318 (Table 1) At reevaluation the patient reported the ability sit for 60 minutes without  
319 symptoms, which would make her travel to Europe more tolerable and would allow her to  
320 sit through a whole high school class period. The patient had not yet tested her ability to

321 participate in the recreational activities of her choosing beyond light volumes of pitching  
322 and batting consisting of less than 10 repetitions. The patient and her mother were  
323 satisfied with the level of care provided, and were optimistic about the patient's ability to  
324 participate in her upcoming tournament.

325

## 326 **Discussion**

327       At the end of the episode of care the patient had received 13 treatment sessions  
328 lasting approximately 75 minutes each. The time constraint the patient and her mother  
329 placed on her rehabilitation due to her softball tournament likely had a negative effect on  
330 overall patient outcomes. Also, the perception of the patient that improvements were  
331 directly correlated to her ability to pitch and bat may have had an impact on her  
332 subjective reports of improvement and level of confidence in the rehabilitation process.  
333 The patient participated in recreational lacrosse activities involving twisting during week  
334 4 of her treatment and was disappointed that she had pain with this movement. She also  
335 participated in batting practice and threw a few pitches during week 6, prior to being  
336 cleared to do so, and had low levels of pain with these activities. This demonstrates  
337 noncompliance with the recommendations of the rehabilitation team, and may have had  
338 adverse effects on the patient's rehabilitation.

339       The patient did not return to therapy after her softball tournament, therefore we  
340 were unable to collect data for outcome measures and for discharge from PT. This  
341 resulted in a limited data collection, especially in terms of self-report questionnaires. It  
342 would have been beneficial to attain the results of these surveys because, based on the re-  
343 evaluation measured collected, the patient had made significant improvements with



344 therapy. These results would have given us better subjective information of how the  
345 patient felt she had improved.

346         The delay in introducing higher-level core exercises into the patient's home and  
347 gym program may have had an adverse effect on the patient's pain levels. Once the  
348 patient began higher-level core strengthening exercises, her pain levels decreased  
349 significantly. This indicated that a balance between core and hip strengthening for a  
350 patient with both low and back hip pain was beneficial. A greater variety of functional  
351 exercises, including exercises that mimic the motions used in softball pitching and batting  
352 may have been useful to keep the patient more motivated and engaged and improve task  
353 specific muscle reeducation.

354         Further studies exploring muscle activations of the lower extremity in greater depth,  
355 and their role in the effectiveness of the windmill softball pitch in relation to low back  
356 and hip injury are warranted<sup>5</sup>. Preventive efforts for women's softball pitchers focusing  
357 on neuromuscular training programs, position-specific throwing programs, and  
358 mechanisms of low back injury would likely reduce injury rates in this population.  
359 Further research on the development and effects of these preventive efforts would be  
360 beneficial.<sup>4</sup>

361

362

363

364

365

366

367 **References**

- 368 1. Bono, CM: Low-back pain in athletes. *American Journal of Bone*  
369 *& Joint Surgery* 2004; 86-A(2):382-96.  
370
- 371 2. King, HA: Back pain in children. *The Pediatric Spine: Principles, Practice.*  
372 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania : Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2001: 123-132  
373
- 374 3. C.P Schmidt et al. Prevalence of low back pain in adolescent athletes- an  
375 epidemiological investigation. *Int J Sports Med* 2014; 35(8): 684-689.  
376
- 377 4. Marshall, Stephen W et al. Descriptive epidemiology of collegiate women's softball  
378 injuries: national collegiate athletic association injury surveillance system, 1988-1989  
379 through 2003-200. *Journal of Athletic Training*; 2007; 42(2): 286-294.  
380
- 381 5. Oliver, Gretchen D. Plummer, Hillary. Ground reaction forces, kinematics, and Muscle  
382 activation during the softball pitch. International symposium on biomechanics in sports:  
383 conference proceedings archive 2010; 28, p 1-4.  
384
- 385 6. Childs JD, Piva SR, Fritz JM. Responsiveness of the numeric pain rating scale in  
386 patients with low back pain. *Spine.* 2005;30(11):1331-4.  
387
- 388 7. Norkin, Cynthia C. White, Joyce D. *Measurement of Joint Motion: A Guide to*  
389 *Goniometry 4<sup>th</sup> Edition.* Philadelphia, PA: F.A. Davis Company; 2009.  
390
- 391 8. Bedekar N, Suryawanshi M, Rairikar S, Sancheti P, Shyam A. Inter and intra-rater  
392 reliability of mobile device goniometer in measuring lumbar flexion range of motion. *J*  
393 *Back Musculoskelet Rehabil.* 2014;27(2):161-6.  
394
- 395 9. Kendall, Florence Peterson, McCreary, Elizabeth Kendall. *Muscles: Testing and*  
396 *Function, with Posture and Pain, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition.* Baltimore, MD: Philadelphia, PA:  
397 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2005.  
398
- 399 10. Gabbe, Belinda J. et al. Reliability of common lower extremity musculoskeletal  
400 screening tests. *Physical Therapy in Sports.* 2004, 5(2): 90-97  
401
- 402 11. Magee, David J. *Orthopedic Physical Assessment 5<sup>th</sup> Edition.* St. Louis, Missouri:  
403 Saunders Elsevier; 2008.  
404
- 405 12. Ekedahl H, Jönsson B, Frobell RB. Fingertip-to-floor test and straight leg raising test:  
406 validity, responsiveness, and predictive value in patients with acute/subacute low back  
407 pain. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2012;93(12):2210-5.  
408
- 409 13. Clapis PA, Davis SM, Davis RO. Reliability of inclinometer and goniometric  
410 measurements of hip extension flexibility using the modified Thomas test. *Physiother*  
411 *Theory Pract.* 2008;24(2):135-41.

- 412  
413 14. Reese NB, Bandy WD. Use of an inclinometer to measure flexibility of the iliotibial  
414 band using the Ober test and the modified Ober test: differences in magnitude and  
415 reliability of measurements. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2003;33(6):326-30.  
416
- 417 15. Kaltenborn, Freddy M. *Manual Mobilization of the Joints: Joint Examination and*  
418 *Basic Treatment. Volume II, The Spine.* Oslo, Norway: Norli; Minneapolis, Minnesota;  
419 2012.  
420
- 421 16. Kaltenborn, Freddy M. *Manual Mobilization of the Joints: Joint Examination and*  
422 *Basic Treatment. Volume I, The Extremities.* Oslo, Norway: Norli; Minneapolis  
423 Minnesota; 2011.  
424
- 425 17. Hoppenfeld, Stanley. *Physical Examination of the Spine and Extremities.* New York:  
426 Appleton-Century-Crofts; 1976.  
427
- 428 18. Wittink H, Turk DC, Carr DB, Sukiennik A, Rogers W. Comparison of the  
429 redundancy, reliability, and responsiveness to change among SF-36, Oswestry Disability  
430 Index, and Multidimensional Pain Inventory. *Clin J Pain.* 2004;20(3):133-42.  
431
- 432 19. Binkley JM, Stratford PW, Lott SA, Riddle DL. The Lower Extremity Functional  
433 Scale (LEFS): scale development, measurement properties, and clinical application.  
434 North American Orthopaedic Rehabilitation Research Network. *Phys Ther.*  
435 1999;79(4):371-83.  
436
- 437 20. Frost H, Lamb SE, Stewart-brown S. Responsiveness of a patient specific outcome  
438 measure compared with the Oswestry Disability Index v2.1 and Roland and Morris  
439 Disability Questionnaire for patients with subacute and chronic low back pain. *Spine.*  
440 2008;33(22):2450-7.  
441
- 442 21. Kivlan BR, Martin RL. Functional performance testing of the hip in athletes: a  
443 systematic review for reliability and validity. *Int J Sports Phys Ther.* 2012;7(4):402-  
444
- 445 22. Kisner, Carolyn, Colby, Lynn Allen. *Thearpeutic Exercise: Foundation and*  
446 *Techniques 5<sup>th</sup> Edition.* Philadelphia, PA: F.A. Davis Company, 2002.  
447
- 448 23. Tharrett, Stephen J. et al. *ACSM's health/fitness facility standards and guidelines/*  
449 *American College of Sports Medicine 3<sup>rd</sup> edition.* Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics, 2007.  
450
- 451 24. Puentedura, Emilio J, Louw, Adriaan. A neuroscience approach to managing  
452 athletes with low back pain. *Physical Therapy In Sport.* 2012; 13(3): 123-133  
453
- 454 25. Thiese, Matthew S. et al. Electrical stimulation for chronic non-specific low back  
455 pain in a working-age population: a 12-week double blinded randomized controlled  
456 trial. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders.* 14.1 2013: 117.  
457

458 **Table 1. Tests and measure performed at initial evaluation and re-evaluation.**

Measurements	Initial Evaluation		Re-evaluation	
	Left	Right	Left	Right
<b>Range of Motion</b>				
Hip flexion	Painful at >100 degrees	WNL	WNL	WNL
Hip ER	WNL with moderate muscle tightness	WNL with mild muscle tightness	WNL mild muscle tightness	WNL marked muscle tightness
Hip IR	Hypermobile	Mild hypermobility	Mild hypermobility	Mild hypermobility
Hip extension	WNL	WNL	WNL	WNL
Hip abduction	WNL	WNL	WNL	WNL
Hip adduction	WNL	WNL	WNL	WNL
Knee extension	WNL	WNL	WNL	WNL
Trunk flexion	90%	90% with moderate thoracic pain	100%	100%
Trunk extension	90% feels stuck/tight	90% feels stuck/tight	95% feels stuck/tight	95% feels stuck/tight
Trunk lateral flexion	75%; tight	75%; pain	90%	100%
Trunk rotation	90%	90%	100%	100%
<b>Manual Muscle Testing</b>	Left	Right	Left	Right
Hip flexion	4/5	4+/5	4+/5	4+/5
Hip ER	4/5	4+/5	4+/5	4+/5
Hip IR	4+/5	4+/5	4+/5	4+/5
Hip extension	4/5	4+/5	4+/5	4+/5
Hip abduction	4-/5	4+/5	4/5	4+/5
Hip adduction	4-/5	4+/5	4/5	4+/5
Knee extension	4+/5	4+/5	4+/5	4+/5
Slump Test	Negative; moderate muscle tightness	Negative; mild muscle tightness	Negative; mild muscle tightness	Negative; marked muscle tightness

Hamstring length	Moderate tightness	Mild tightness	Mild tightness	Marked tightness
Lesague test	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative
Ober test	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
Thomas test	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative
Hip impingement test	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative
Palpation	Moderately spasm and TTP superior/ lateral glueals, piriformis, and QL.	No TTP, marked muscle tightness of superior/ lateral gluteals, piriformis, and QL	No TTP	No TTP
Joint mobilization	Mild TTP with grade 1 PA mobilizations of L2-L5		No TTP with grade 3 mobilizations L2-L5	
Pain (Numeric Pain Rating Scale)	Consistent bilateral low back and hip pain. 9/10 with activity (particularly pitching and batting), 6/10 at rest		Left sided low back pain localized to PSIS area. 3/10 with activity, 0/10 at rest	
Functional abilities	Patient experiences 4/10 pain with weightbearing exercise and 7/10 pain after sitting for > 15 minutes and while lifting items weighing > 20 pounds. She experiences 9/10 pain while pitching or batting. Functional hip and core strength is moderately to severely impaired.		Patient has no symptoms with high-intensity weightbearing exercise (no plyometrics attempted) and can sit for 45 minutes with no symptoms. She has 2/10 pain when lifting items weighing 50 pounds. Patient participated in batting practice and pitched 10 balls with no symptoms. Functional hip and core strength is mildly impaired.	
Lower Extremity Functional Scale	88/200		Unable to retain results at re-evaluation	
Oswestry Disability Index	44%		Unable to retain results at re-evaluation	

- 459 \* > = greater than  
460 \*\*WNL = within normal limits  
461 \*\*\*ER = external rotation  
462 \*\*\*\*IR = Internal rotation  
463 \*\*\*\*\*TTP = tenderness to palpation  
464 \*\*\*\*\*QL = quadratus lumborum  
465 \*\*\*\*\*PA = Posterior anterior  
466 \*\*\*\*\*PSIS= posterior superior iliac spine

467 **Table 2: Procedural Interventions**

Intervention	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4	Week 5	
<b>Warmup</b>						
Moist Heat	X					
Bike		X	X	X	X	
Dynamic Warmup			X	X	X	
<b>Stretching</b>						
Figure 4	X	X	X	On SB	On SB	
Hamstring	X	X	X	X	X	
Piriformis	X	X	X			
<b>Active and Resistance Exercises</b>						
Pelvic Tilts	X	X				
Glute bridges	X	X	Single leg	On SB	On SB	
Clamshells	X	X	HEP			
Sidelying hip abduction	X	X	X	HEP		
Single leg stance	X	X	X	On foam	On foam	Wit
Sit to stand		0#	5#	5#	8#	
Step ups			8"	8"	8"	
Step downs		2"	2"	4"	4"	
Side stepping			GTB	GTB	GTB	
Hip 4 ways						
Planks				30 seconds	40 seconds	50
Side planks						30
Scaption				3#	3#	
Resisted belly press				X	X	
Triceps push down					30#	
Latissimus pull down					30#	
D2 PNF pattern					X	
<b>Soft Tissue Massage</b>						
Lumbar	X	X	X	X	X	
Thoracic		X				
Glutes		X	X	X		
Lateral quads			X	X	X	
<b>Joint Mobilizations</b>						
Lumbar PA	X	X	X	X		
Hip		X				

distraction/ inferior glides						
Modalities						
Electrical Stimulation	X	X	X	X		
Ice	X	X	X	X	X	

468 Modifications in difficulty and resistance are noted on the chart in place of X's. HEP

469 indicates activity was discharged to home exercise program.

470 \*HEP = home exercise program

471 \*\*SB = swiss ball

472 \*\*\*GTB = green theraband

473 \*\*\*\*BTB = blue theraband

474 \*\*\*\*\*PA = posterior anterior

475