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ABSTRACT

Background: Chronic low back pain (CLBP) is one of the most common conditions encountered in an outpatient physical therapy (PT) setting. While there are many different approaches used in the treatment of CLBP, the purpose of this case report is to detail the successful utilization of a short-course of manual therapy (MT) for a patient with CLBP and sciatica.

Case Description: The patient was a 60-year-old male who presented to PT with a medical diagnosis of nerve root compression-left sciatica. At the initial evaluation, he presented with radiating left sided low back and lower extremity pain of approximately six months duration. This prevented sleep and limited work duties as well as his sitting tolerance to less than one hour. Prior treatment included over the counter pain medication and self-taught stretches without relief. His primary goal was to perform his job without interference and to be able to fall asleep without pain. Outcome measures included the modified Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) and the Numeric Pain Rating Scale (NPRS). MT intervention included soft tissue mobilization, spinal mobilization, musculature stretching, and passive range of motion, for a period of six weeks to address functional mobility and decrease pain.

Outcomes: With MT intervention, ODI score improved from 11.1% to 2.2%, NPRS score at rest reduced from 5/10 to 0/10. Sitting tolerance improved to more than one hour. The patient met his goals of return to pain-free sleep and work duties.

Discussion: This case report demonstrated that a MT focused intervention can decrease pain and improve function in a 60-year-old male with CLBP and sciatica. Further studies should investigate the use of MT in a larger population with CLBP.

MANUSCRIPT WORD COUNT: 2,776
BACKGROUND and PURPOSE

Low back pain (LBP) is the second most common cause of disability in adults, with total costs estimated to be between $100 and $200 billion annually on the US economy. The prevalence of chronic LBP rose significantly over a 14-year interval (1992 to 2006), from 3.9% to 10.2%, with a significant increase in the number of individuals who sought care from a health care provider. Low back pain becomes classified as chronic when the duration of pain exceeds 12 weeks. Treatment of LBP may be conservative or surgical, conservative therapy appears to be the mainstream initial treatment of chronic LBP, before a consideration of a surgical option. A surgical option is only recommended when there is evidence of worsening nerve damage. Physical therapy intervention falls under the conservative treatment option. In a physical therapy outpatient setting, chronic lower back pain is one of the most common conditions encountered; the primary evidence-based treatment options include: exercise therapy and manual therapy (including spinal manipulation). Both have been shown to benefit many patients. A published randomized controlled trial described the use of manual therapy techniques with adjuvant exercise for the treatment of low back pain. The trial was based on seventy-two subjects with chronic low back pain. The participants in this study were seen for a total of six weekly sessions, where manual therapy intervention was administered (primarily consisted of muscle energy techniques). In addition, they were asked to perform their exercise program twice daily; which comprised of stretches, strengthening, or non-specific exercises tailored to each participant. The study concluded by stating that manual therapy with adjuvant exercise appears to be beneficial in the treatment of LBP. A different published randomized trial discussed the effect of a different approach to low back pain. The article discussed the effect of core exercise program on pain and active range of motion in patients with chronic low back pain. The exercise group in this article was treated over
the course of four weeks, three times a week, 30 minutes per session of conditioning core exercises. The research article concluded by stating that this exercise program is effective in pain reduction and improved active range of motion (AROM) in patients with chronic low back pain. While both published articles found improvement in term of pain for patients with low back pain, there is currently conflicting literature that supports the sole use of one treatment method over another within the physical therapy profession. Therefore, the purpose of this case report is to report on the effects of a short-course of manual therapy for a patient who present with chronic low back pain with sciatica symptoms.

CASE DESCRIPTION

Patient History and Systems Review

The patient signed an informed consent allowing the use of medical information for this report. The patient was a 60-year-old male who presented to physical therapy following referral by his primary care physician with a medical diagnosis of nerve root compression- left sciatica. At the time of initial evaluation, he presented with left sided low back, buttock, and thigh pain, that radiated down the leg reaching the foot, which began about six months ago. The patient reported that the intensity of pain varied on a daily basis, with decreases in pain level occurring with motion. He reported a consistent daily pattern of symptoms, with stiffness and pain in the morning, improving as the day progressed, but returning at night, often preventing him from sleeping or finding a comfortable positon to sleep in. Provocative factors included sitting, and pain was alleviated with standing and moving around. Overall, the patient’s primary complaint included pain in the form of radiating tingle that prevented him from sleeping and interfered with his work duties, where he is unable to sit at his desk for more than one hour. The patient’s previous medical history included: osteoarthritis of the hands, ankles, and feet, total hip
replacement of the right hip in 2010. Previous treatment for this pain included over the counter
pain medication (600mg naproxen a day), and self-taught stretches without any noticeable relief.
The patient had not sought previous formal therapy for this episode of pain.

Overall health was self-rated as very good, and he rated his quality of life as excellent. He
denied smoking and drinking and reported being active and independent in the performance of
activities of daily living (ADLs) and instrumental activities of daily living (IADLs). There was
no significant known family history. The patient reported that he lived in a private home with his
wife, and worked as a graphic designer with his time spent between two different office
locations, one with a standing desk and the other with a traditional seated desk. The primary goal
of the patient was to eliminate pain in order to perform his job without interference and to be
able to fall asleep without back, buttock, or leg pain. Table 1 details the results obtained from the
systems review.

Clinical Impression 1

The patient was a 60-year-old male presenting with the health condition of sciatica on the
left side. At the impairment level, the patient presented with pain in the low back, left buttock
and posterior left thigh. He presented with strength deficits in his bilateral hip external rotators
and tenderness to touch in the left gluteal area. Pain in this area resulted in a limited ability to
perform activities of daily living, sit for greater than one hour, and participate in community and
work duties. Pain also constrained the patient from falling asleep and finding a comfortable
position to sleep in.

The patient received a diagnosis of left sciatica due to nerve compression. Possible
diagnosis included herniated nucleus pulposus, lumbar stenosis, and sacroiliac joint pathology.
Further tests and measures planned to confirm the diagnosis included: straight leg raise, thigh
thrust test, and quadrant lumbar test. In addition, range of motion and lower extremity strength were assessed to better understand how any motion and strength deficits influenced the patient’s functional mobility, or contribute to the pain experienced. The patient was a good candidate for a case report due to the conflicting evidence reporting the effect of physical therapy treatment particularly manual therapy, for sciatica pain.\textsuperscript{10}

**Examination – Tests and Measures**

During the initial evaluation, standardized outcomes were measured and objective data were collected from the examination (Table 2). The patient completed one self-report outcome measure, the modified Oswestry Disability Index (ODI), as well as reporting his pain-level at rest on the Numeric Pain Rating Scale (NPRS). The ODI is a self-reported measure which assesses the impact of LBP on the ability to manage everyday activities. The ODI breaks down everyday life activities into ten categories. The categories are comprised of pain intensity, personal care, lifting, walking, sitting, standing, sleeping, social life, traveling and employment/homemaking. The ODI has been found to have good responsiveness in people with chronic low back pain.\textsuperscript{10} The minimal clinical important difference (MCID) has been reported as a difference of 8 points in people with chronic LBP.\textsuperscript{11} The NPRS is a useful, quick, self-report tool that measures the patient’s pain level on an 11-point numeric scale. The NPRS has been found to have excellent interrater/ intrarater reliability, excellent internal consistency and large responsiveness in lower back pain.\textsuperscript{12} In addition, the NPRS has a MCID of one point when assessing various chronic musculoskeletal pain.\textsuperscript{13}

Gross AROM and gross muscle strength (MMTs) of the lower extremity and the lumbar spine were also assessed. Hamstring length found to be 85 degrees on the right side, and 70 degrees on the left side due to nerve pain, no other restricted AROM was identified. The patient
reported a feeling of “stiffness” at end-range lumbar/trunk flexion (forward bending).

Goniometric measurements (Table 2), were used to measure spine AROM. AROM testing revealed no other significant finding pertaining to the patient’s symptoms. Lumbar joint mobility assessment found slight hypomobility in two segments, all others lumbar segments were within normal limits (table 1). The patient reported tenderness-to-touch with palpation of the following structures: left piriformis muscle-belly, left piriformis muscle attachment, and left greater sciatic notch. Examination findings prompted a further assessment of the sciatic nerve integrity on the left side. The last step of the examination was neurological testing in order to rule out or assess any neurological pathology that could contribute to the patient’s pain. The patient underwent the following tests: supine Straight Leg Raise (SLR) test with a positive result of neural pain reported at 70 degrees of leg raise, and lumbar quadrant tests with a negative result. The positive SLR test is suggestive of radiculopathy. The SLR, when preformed in a supine position, has been shown to be sensitive in reproducing symptoms associated with lumbar radiculopathy and evidence of nerve root compression (sciatica).

Clinical Impression 2

The findings from the examination data revealed signs and symptoms consistent with the referring diagnosis of left sciatica (nerve root compression on the left). The findings included reported pain in the left lower extremity, positive SLR, AROM finding (decreased lumbar flexion), tenderness to palpation, and gross strength finding (myotomes); which directed the therapist to the thought of minor root irritation. The patient continued to present as appropriate for this case due to his age, lifestyle, motivation, unsuccessful self-care, severity of pain at rest, fluctuating severity presentation, and the duration of low back pain with the associated radiculopathy. Based on the findings from the examination and the primary care physician
referral, the plan was to proceed with physical therapy intervention. An ICD-10 code of M54.3 (sciatica) was given based on medical diagnosis.

Prognosis for a patient with sciatica is favorable, with most pain and related disability resolving within weeks. Positive prognostic factors for this patient included: general overall health, quality of life reported, motivation to improve functional ability, understanding of deficits, and medical diagnosis. Negative prognostic factors for this patient included: chronic presentation, failure of other interventions, and patient’s age. Given all prognostic factors including comorbidities, favorable prognosis for sciatica, and the evidence that support the effectiveness of physical therapy interventions in improving patient symptoms and outcomes: the patient’s prognosis was good.

The plan for this patient was for him to attend one session per week due to his work schedule, with each session lasting 30-minutes, for a total of eight weeks. The treatment plan included lumbar mobilization, lumbar facets gapping, lower extremity muscle stretching, and soft tissue massage to restricted structures as indicated. After examination and evaluation, functional goals were established for the patient (refer to table 3).

Intervention

Coordination, communication, documentation

Patient communication included the evaluation findings, proposed plan of care, and home exercise program (HEP). The patient was instructed on exercises to perform at home, and the therapist communicated with the patient at every visit regarding adherence to the HEP. The patient’s initial evaluation, treatment notes, and discharge note were documented using an electronic medical record system (EMR). EMR documentation was shared with the referring physician and was made available to the patient upon request.
Patient related instruction

Following the examination, the patient was educated regarding the findings and how they contributed to his condition. In addition, the patient was educated on the role of physical therapy to improve his functional mobility and achieve his treatment goals. A HEP including pictures and descriptions (appendix 1) was given to the patient at the first visit. Instructions regarding each exercise including performance, duration, and repetitions were provided. The patient verbalized understanding of examination findings, plan of care, and HEP.

Procedural interventions

The course of therapy consisted of 30-minute sessions, one session per week for eight weeks.

The in-clinic intervention consisted of primarily manual therapy interventions. The interventions selected were based on one of the categories (manual therapy) put forth by the Guide to Physical Therapist Practice. Manual therapy techniques included soft tissue massage/mobilization, spinal mobilization (facet gapping mobilization of the spine), and muscle stretching with passive motion.

A typical flow of each treatment session consisted of:

1. A subjective inquiry regarding patient’s pain, functional change, and any reported subjective measures
2. A 30-second stretch to; Left and right hamstrings muscles, left and right gluteal muscles, and left and right piriformis muscle stretch.
3. Soft tissue mobilization to the left piriformis insertion, and left piriformis muscle belly and/or bilateral lumbar paraspinals.
4. Posterior-Anterior (PA) mobilization to lumbar segments L2-L5.
5. Lumbar facets gapping (LFG) in side-lying position.
7. Post treatment patient’s report of pain-level changes, and a review of HEP and HEP adherence at home.

Table 4 provides a detailed timeline of each therapy session. A 30-second stretch to the mentioned muscles was selected based on current literature supporting the duration of the stretch. The musculature selected for stretching was based on specific examination findings, and guided by the literature supporting a link between hip and back pain. Soft tissue mobilization was incorporated into all treatment sessions due to the evidence supporting their inclusion in treatment of low back pathologies.

Lumbar mobilization (includes PA mobilization, LFG, and LRFG) was selected based on evidence supporting spinal mobilization as an effective intervention in the reduction of pain and the improvement in function. The Kaltenborn mobilization method was used. Table 5 describes positioning and interventions technique used.

OUTCOME

Over the course of therapy, the patient reported decreased pain and improved overall daily function. An improvement in his ODI score from 11.1% disability to 2.2% disability at the time of discharge indicated improvements in daily function. His NPRS score improved from 5/10 at rest to 0/10 which indicated significant improvement in daily pain levels. In addition, improvements were noted in the SLR; at discharge the patient demonstrated a negative result. Previously mentioned structures were no longer reported by patient as tender/painful at discharge. Lumbar segmental mobility at L3-L4, L4-L5 was noted to have no change in mobility grade (grade 2 - slightly hypomobile) through PA joint testing at discharge. In addition, AROM, and MMT did not demonstrate significant changes at discharge. Table 2 details examination findings at initial evaluation and at discharge. At discharge, the patient’s subjective reporting
included the ability to sleep through the night, to resume daily activities, and to perform pain-
free work duties with improvement in his sitting tolerance to more than one hour. All plan of
care goals (Table 3) were met by discharge, and the patient reported being able to manage his
radicular symptoms on his own by performing HEP at the onset of symptoms (Appendix 1).

DISCUSSION

Over the course of physical therapy, the patient demonstrated improvements in functional
outcomes and other objective measurements. He exceeded the minimal clinical important
difference in the measurement of pain (NPRS), and everyday activity management as it relates to
low back pain with the use of the ODI. The patient’s plan of care was established for a period of
eight weeks, however he was discharged by the end of week six due to the measureable
improvements in all outcome measures and subjective reporting. It was hypothesized that the
patient’s pain was due to minor restrictions in his lumbar spine in addition to muscular tightness
and soft tissue restrictions. The use of manual therapy as discussed in this report can be
beneficial in addressing chronic pain and improving function. In addition to the manual therapy
course, the therapist complimented the treatment with a HEP and checked patient compliance
status at every visit. In this case, the therapist decided to use the same techniques (with minor
variations) for a few sessions and re-evaluate its effect. The patient reported pain relief following
the first session, which prompted the therapist to keep the course of treatment consistent (Table
4). The treatment course did not result in joint motion change, but rather provided a pain relief
that may have been due to the neurophysiological effect of joint mobilization. This pain
improvement then facilitated the patient’s participation in the treatment and functional exercises.

This case study outlines the success with the use of physical therapy in the treatment of
this patient with chronic low back pain and sciatica. With the sole use of manual therapy and a
HEP, the patient made significant improvements over the course of a six-week episode of care which allowed him to resume daily activities and work duties without pain. As with any case report, cause and effect between the manual therapy intervention and the clinical improvement of the patient cannot be inferred. However, the improvement in the chronic symptoms of the patient were likely due to the benefits of the intervention applied. Further research with a larger sample size and extended duration is warranted to investigate and report on the outcome of using a sole manual therapy approach in the management of chronic low back pain.
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Table 1. Systems Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular/Pulmonary</td>
<td>Not impaired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musculoskeletal</td>
<td>Impaired: Lower extremity active range of motion- within functional limits Lower extremity gross manual muscle testing- All manual muscle testing were 5/5 except for: Right hip external rotators 3+/5, and left hip external rotators 4/5 Lumbar active range of motion-within functional limits. Lumbar flexion end-range (58 degrees). Hamstring 85 degrees on right, 70 degrees on left. Lumbar segmental mobility: Slight hypomobility (grade 2) was noted in the following segments through a posterior to anterior (PA) joint mobilization testing; L3-L4, L4-L5. All others lumbar segments were within normal limits. Tenderness to palpation was noted in the following areas: Left piriformis muscle belly, left piriformis muscle attachment, and left greater sciatic notch Gross symmetry: Rounded shoulders with forward head posture were noted. Patient demonstrated slight posterior pelvic tilt with flattened lumbar lordosis with standing posture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuromuscular</td>
<td>Impaired: Positive testing for neural tension of the Sciatic nerve on the left side. Lower extremity deep tendon reflexes - Normal (2+) Lower extremity dermatomes testing- Normal Lower extremity myotome testing- Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integumentary</td>
<td>Not impaired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>Not impaired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affect, Cognition, Language, Learning Style</td>
<td>Not impaired. The patient has good affect, with no observable barriers to learning. Patient preferred learning style is pictures with demonstration.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 2. Test and Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tests &amp; Measures</th>
<th>Initial Evaluation Results</th>
<th>At Discharge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thigh Thrust Test</td>
<td>Negative Bilaterally</td>
<td>Negative Bilaterally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadrant Lumbar Test</td>
<td>Negative Bilaterally</td>
<td>Negative Bilaterally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Lower Extremity Manual</td>
<td>Gross Muscle group</td>
<td>Gross Muscle group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle testing (MMTs) as described by Kendall FP et al.²⁸</td>
<td><strong>Right</strong></td>
<td><strong>Left</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Flexors</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip external rotators</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>3+/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee flexors</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee extensors</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle dorsiflexors</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle plantarflexors</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Active Range of Motion (AROM) as described by Norkin CC, White DJ.²⁹</td>
<td><strong>Lower extremity</strong></td>
<td><strong>Lumbar</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>85° R HS</strong></td>
<td>Flexion: 58°</td>
<td>Flexion: 59°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>70° L HS</strong></td>
<td>Extension: 32°</td>
<td>Extension: 32°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All others WFL</td>
<td>Lateral Flexion:</td>
<td>Lateral Flexion:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>R:</strong> 17° <strong>L:</strong> 15°</td>
<td><strong>R:</strong> 18° <strong>L:</strong> 17°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numeric Pain Rating Scale (NPRS)</td>
<td>5/10 pain rating at rest.</td>
<td>0/10 pain rating at rest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straight Leg Raise (SLR)</td>
<td>Positive on left- at 70°.</td>
<td>Negative Bilaterally.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oswestry Disability Index (ODI)</td>
<td>11.1% disability score</td>
<td>2.2% disability score</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: WFL=within functional limits, °=degrees, HS= Hamstrings, R=Right side, L=Left side
### Table 3. Plan of care- Goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Term Goals (STG): Patient to demonstrate the following in 4 weeks:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Patient disability will be reduced as measured by the modified Oswestry score to less than 10%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Patient left low back/buttock/thigh pain will decrease to 2/10 at rest as measured by the NPRS in order to improve quality of life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Patient will be able to sleep through the night.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Long term Goals (LTG): Patient to demonstrate the following in 8 weeks:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Patient disability will be reduced as measured by the modified Oswestry score to less than 3%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Patient left low back/buttock/thigh pain will decrease to 0/10 at rest as measured by the NPRS in order to improve quality of life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Patient will be able to resume pain-free activities and work duties.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4- Session detailed timeline of each intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Session 1</th>
<th>Session 2</th>
<th>Session 3</th>
<th>Session 4</th>
<th>Session 5</th>
<th>Session 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stretch to GM on L and R</td>
<td>30 seconds each side</td>
<td>30 seconds each side</td>
<td>30 seconds</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stretch to HS on L and R</td>
<td>30 seconds each side</td>
<td>30 seconds each side</td>
<td>30 seconds</td>
<td>30 seconds</td>
<td>30 seconds</td>
<td>30 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stretch to PM on L and R</td>
<td>30 seconds each side</td>
<td>30 seconds each side</td>
<td>30 seconds</td>
<td>30 seconds</td>
<td>30 seconds</td>
<td>30 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft tissue massage to PM on L</td>
<td>5 minutes</td>
<td>2 minutes</td>
<td>6 minutes</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>2 minutes</td>
<td>NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft tissue massage to LP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>3 minutes</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>3 minutes</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2 PA mobilization to segments L2-L5</td>
<td>5 minutes</td>
<td>8 minutes</td>
<td>5 minutes</td>
<td>5 minutes</td>
<td>5 minutes</td>
<td>8 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFG</td>
<td>5 minutes</td>
<td>5 minutes</td>
<td>5 minutes</td>
<td>10 minutes</td>
<td>8 minutes</td>
<td>8 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRFG</td>
<td>5 minutes</td>
<td>5 minutes</td>
<td>5 minutes</td>
<td>8 minutes</td>
<td>8 minutes</td>
<td>10 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post session EDU</td>
<td>5 minutes</td>
<td>2 minutes</td>
<td>2 minutes</td>
<td>2 minutes</td>
<td>2 minutes</td>
<td>2 minutes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: NP= Not performed, GM= Gluteal muscles (gluteus medius and gluteus minimus), HS= Hamstring muscle, PM= Piriformis muscle, LP= lumbar paraspinals muscles (iliocostalis, longissimus, and spinalis). PA= Posterior to anterior glides of the lumbar segments, LFG= Lumbar facets gapping, LFRG= Lumbar rotational facets gapping, EDU= Reports of pain changes, education and a review of the home exercise program.

Table 5- Joint mobilization techniques

| Posterior to anterior glides/mobilization | The patient was placed in a prone position (on stomach facing down). The therapist stood at the patient’s side and placed the hypothenar eminence surface of their right/left hand over the spinous process of L2 with wrist in slight extension, reinforced by the other hand. With the therapist's shoulders directly above the segment, a force was applied in a posterior to anterior manner producing an oscillatory motion in the joint. The process was repeated for segments L3, L4, and L5. |
| Lumbar facets gapping technique         | The patient was placed in a side lying position on his right side (uninvolved) side. The therapist stood in front the patient and flexed the left hip and knee until a |
motion was felt in the L4-L5 interspace via palpation. The therapist then placed the patient’s left (top) foot behind the right (bottom) knee in the popliteal fossa. The therapist gripped the patient’s right arm and shoulder and introduced a right side bending & left rotation motion by pulling the patient’s arm in an anterior direction until a motion was felt in the same lumbar interspace. The therapist then placed their left thumb on the spinous process of L4 segments while maintaining the setup; using a log-roll technique, the therapist rolled patient towards him to position the involved segments in a vertical position. The therapist placed right hand/thumb below the spinous process of L5, then the therapist used his left arm and the patient body to apply high velocity (speed), low amplitude thrust in an anterior direction only. The process was repeated for segments L4-L3, L3-L2, and L2-L1.

| Lumbar rotational facets gapping technique | The patient was placed in a side lying position on his right side (uninvolved) side. The therapist stood in front the patient and flexed the left hip and knee until a motion was felt in the L4-L5 interspace via palpation. The therapist then placed the patient’s left (top) foot behind the right (bottom) knee in the popliteal fossa. The therapist gripped the patient’s right arm and shoulder and introduced a right side bending & left rotation motion by pulling the patient’s arm in an anterior direction until a motion was felt in the same lumbar interspace. The therapist then placed their left thumb on the spinous process of L4 segments while maintaining the setup; using a log-roll technique, the therapist rolled patient towards him to position the involved segments in a vertical position. The therapist placed right hand/thumb below the spinous process of L5, then the therapist used his left arm |
and the patient body to apply high velocity (speed), low amplitude thrust in an anterior and superior. The process was repeated for segments L4-L3, L3-L2, and L2-L1.

APPENDICES

Appendix 1- Home exercise program (HEP)

HIP/KNEE - 38 Stretching: Hamstring (Supine)

Supporting left thigh behind knee, slowly straighten knee until stretch is felt in back of thigh. Hold 30 seconds.
Repeat 3 times per set. Do ___ sets per session.
Do ___ sessions per day.

HIP/KNEE - 48 Piriformis (Supine)

Cross legs, left on top. Gently pull other knee toward chest until stretch is felt in buttock/hip of top leg. Hold 30 seconds.
Repeat 3 times per set. Do ___ sets per session.
Do ___ sessions per day.

BACK - 18 Knee-to-Chest Stretch: Unilateral

With hand behind left knee, pull knee in to chest until a comfortable stretch is felt in lower back and buttocks. Keep back relaxed. Hold 30 seconds.
Repeat 3 times per set. Do ___ sets per session.
Do ___ sessions per day.