### University of New England DUNE: DigitalUNE

**Case Report Papers** 

Physical Therapy Student Papers

12-10-2015

# The Effects Of Neuromobilization Combined With Posture Training In The Management Of A Patient With Cervical Radiculopathy: A Case Report

Courtney Naimi University of New England

Follow this and additional works at: http://dune.une.edu/pt\_studcrpaper

© 2015 Courtney Naimi

#### **Recommended** Citation

Naimi, Courtney, "The Effects Of Neuromobilization Combined With Posture Training In The Management Of A Patient With Cervical Radiculopathy: A Case Report" (2015). *Case Report Papers*. 55. http://dune.une.edu/pt\_studcrpaper/55

This Course Paper is brought to you for free and open access by the Physical Therapy Student Papers at DUNE: DigitalUNE. It has been accepted for inclusion in Case Report Papers by an authorized administrator of DUNE: DigitalUNE. For more information, please contact bkenyon@une.edu.

1	
2	
3	The Effects of Neuromobilization Combined With Posture Training in the
4	Management of a Patient with Cervical Radiculopathy:
5	A Case Report
6	
7	Courtney Naimi
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	C Naimi, BS, is a DPT student at the
16	University of New England, 716 Stevens Ave. Portland, ME 04103
17	Address all correspondence to Courtney Naimi at: cnaimi@une.edu
18	The patient signed an informed consent allowing the use of medical information and
19	video footage for this report and received information on the institution's policies
20	regarding the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act.
21	The author acknowledges Noel Squires, DPT for assistance with case report
22	conceptualization and Julia Okuly, PT, MS, FAAOMPT for supervision and assistance
23	with photos.

#### 24 <u>ABSTRACT</u>

25 Background and Purpose: Management of cervical radiculopathy can include cervical 26 traction, neural mobilization, manual therapy, and therapeutic exercise, whereas 27 management of lateral epicondylitis can include eccentric tendinopathy management, 28 manual therapy, and therapeutic exercise. Some evidence exists discussing 29 neuromobilization for the management of axial diagnoses. However, there is sparse 30 literature describing neuromobilization for management of both the presence of right C7, 31 C8 radiculopathy and contralateral lateral epicondylitis. Therefore, the purpose of this 32 case report is to discuss the outcomes of neuromobilization techniques for a patient 33 presenting with right C7, C8 cervical radiculopathy with contralateral lateral 34 epicondylitis. 35 **Case Description:** A 64-year-old male satisfied the clinical prediction rule for right C7, 36 C8 radiculopathy and contralateral epicondyle pain. Management of C7, C8 included 37 manual therapy, stretching, strengthening exercises and neuromobilization techniques. 38 Management of lateral epicondylitis on the left side included manual therapy, eccentric 39 strengthening, and patient education. 40 **Outcomes:** Improvements from baseline to discharge were noted. The QuickDash score 41 improved from 15% to 6.8%. Visual Analog Scale gains were reported from 8/10 to 3/10 42 at the time of his discharge, and the Neck Disability Index revealed no change with 4% 43 disability at both the initial examination and discharge. The patient's examination showed 44 C7, C8 myotomal weakness and dermatomal parasthesia. Lateral Epicondylitis improved 45 with increased grip strength from 32.5kg to 35 kg and the patient's symptoms declined,

46 with improved function following six therapy sessions.

47	Discussion: The results of this case report suggest that neuromobilization along with
48	manual therapy, therapeutic exercise, and education, may be beneficial for the
49	management of cervical radiculopathy and contralateral lateral epicondylitis.
50	Nonetheless, ongoing studies are needed to further investigate the management of both of
51	these diagnoses.
52	(Manuscript word count: 3,496 words)
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
61	
62	
63	
64	
65	
66	
67	
68	
69	

#### 70 Background and Purpose

71 The objective of nerve tension stretching, often referred to as neuromobilization, 72 is to attempt to restore the dynamic balance between the relative movements of neural 73 tissues and surrounding mechanical interfaces, thereby allowing reduced intrinsic 74 pressures on the neural tissue. By doing so, this will promote optimum physiologic 75 function.<sup>1</sup> Literature has shown that patients complaining of neck pain that have 76 undergone nerve tension stretching have exhibited significantly greater improvements in range of motion.<sup>2</sup> This intervention is part of the clinical practice guideline for treating 77 78 cervical radiculopathy. This guideline for neck pain is based on evidence-based practice 79 and has been published in a leading Physical Therapy journal so that it may guide 80 physical therapists in identifying interventions using the strongest and most recent supporting evidence related to neck pain.<sup>2</sup> 81 82 The research of neuromobilization as an intervention for treating cervical

83 radiculopathy has been shown to be effective. Whereas, studies of patient populations 84 with an additional diagnosis of contralateral lateral epicondylitis are sparse. This case 85 report will discuss the effectiveness of neurodynamic mobilization on a patient's 86 symptoms resulting from the diagnosis of C7, C8 cervical radiculopathy as well as 87 contralateral epicondylitis. The results of this patient's treatment may however provide 88 indication for further exploration into neurodynamic mobilization on those diagnosed 89 with cervical radiculopathy and contralateral lateral epicondylitis symptoms. Moreover, 90 the purpose of this case report is to establish a conservative management for the treatment 91 of severe cervical radiculopathy and contralateral upper quarter lateral epicondylitis in a

92 patient utilizing median nerve tension stretching techniques, as well as engaging in

93 manual therapy, therapeutic exercise, and posture correction interventions.<sup>3</sup>

94

#### 95 PATIENT HISTORY AND SYSTEMS REVIEW

96 Right Cervical Related Findings

97 The patient was a 64-year-old male with an eight-month history of parasthesia in 98 digits four and five of his right hand, with worsening of symptoms since that time. The 99 patient presented to physical therapy with complaints of neck pain and numbress on his 100 right fourth and fifth digits. He reports it worsened in certain sleeping positions, while on 101 the computer at work, while driving, and while holding the phone to his ear. The patient 102 was suspected to have a diagnosis of cervical radiculopathy on the right side. The 103 patient's goals included an increase in neck range of motion (ROM) and to eliminate the 104 numbness in his fingers. 105 Left Upper Extremity Related Findings

The patient described pain and a burning sensation in his left elbow, which started when he began playing golf about two months prior to the initial examination. Furthermore, he felt as though his forearms were more fatigued with certain activities such as golfing, lifting, or carrying items over five pounds. At this point, the patient was thought to have a second diagnosis of lateral epicondylitis on the left side. The patient's goals included: to acquire tools to manage lateral elbow pain while golfing, lifting items, and swimming.

113 This patient was selected because he presented to physical therapy with a rare 114 combination of symptoms related to his diagnosis of right-sided cervical radiculopathy

115 and left-sided lateral epicondylitis in which limited research has been documented. He 116 also appeared motivated and was interested in trying new intervention strategies. 117 The patient's past medical history was significant for Basal Cell Carcinoma, a heart 118 murmur, hypertension, anxiety, and colonic polyps, which were all being managed by 119 healthcare professionals. Additionally, radiographic images have included a magnetic 120 resonance imaging (MRI) of the cervical spine, which revealed degenerative changes 121 noted at several levels within the cervical spine, leading to narrowing of the right-sided 122 neural foramina, most notably at C6-7, and C7-C8 levels. No significant findings on the 123 left sided neural foramina were noted. Lastly, the patient signed a consent form agreeing 124 to participate in a physical therapy case report and have photographed images made 125 public for teaching purposes.

126 Refer to Table 1 for systems review.

127

#### 128 <u>CLINICAL IMPRESSION 1</u>

129 The patient presented to an outpatient spine physical therapy clinic with two 130 separate issues. One issue included an eight-month history of insidious onset parasthesia 131 in his right fourth and fifth digits. The second reason the patient was referred was due to 132 left lateral elbow pain. The patient was referred to physical therapy from a Physical 133 Medicine and Rehabilitation physician, with directions to examine and treat for cervical 134 radiculopathy and secondary elbow pain. It was hypothesized that the patient's diagnosis 135 was right-sided C7, C8 cervical radiculopathy along with a possibly related left lateral 136 epicondylitis based on his signs and symptoms. This patient was suitable for a case report 137 because there is minimal evidence regarding a patient with an unusual presentation of C7,

138 C8 cervical radiculopathy on the right side and a possibly related lateral epicondylitis on139 the opposite side.

Differential diagnoses for cervical radiculopathy included: radial nerve
entrapment, carpal tunnel syndrome, lateral epicondylitis, right ulnar neuropathy, cancer,
spinal fracture, upper cervical ligamentous instability, and systemic disease. Differential
diagnoses for lateral epicondylitis included: cervical myelopathy, radial tunnel syndrome,
fracture, or elbow osteoarthritis.

145 In the examination, the plan was to assess posture, active cervical and thoracic

range of motion, muscle length of cervical musculature, resisted isometrics of upper

147 extremity myotomal distribution; as well as grip strength, structural restrictions of

148 cervical and thoracic spine and ribs, and neurotension tests for the radial, ulnar, and

149 median nerves. Special tests to confirm or deny right-sided cervical radiculopathy

150 included cervical distraction, Spurling's test A, lateral flexion alar ligament stress test,

151 Sharp-Purser test, and the Brachial Plexus Compression Test. Additionally, grip strength,

152 Cozen's test, and Mill's test were done to rule in/out lateral epicondylitis.

153

#### 154 EXAMINATION: TESTS AND MEASURES

A neurological screening of the upper extremity was done where the myotomes and dermatomes were assessed with findings of slight weakness in the C7 and C8 dermatome. Next, peripheral joint screening was tested with cervical active range of motion (CROM) using the CROM inclinometers.<sup>\*</sup> Findings included restricted range of motion in cervical extension with reproduction of pain and symptoms, side bending

<sup>\*</sup> Brand- Performance Attainment Associates; Model number: 63567754, Performance Attainment Associates. 12805 Lake Blvd, Lindrstrom, MN 55045

160 bilaterally, and rotation to the right. Segmental mobility of the cervical and thoracic facet 161 joints was carried out with grade 3 passive physiological intervertebral movements. 162 Testing to determine the cause of the patient's cervical pain included the Distraction test, 163 Spurling's test A on the right, and Brachial Plexus Compression test on the right. The 164 following tests were carried out on the patient and were negative: lateral flexion alar 165 ligament stress test and sharp purser test. All results can be found in Table 2. 166 Next, testing was carried out to determine the cause of the patient's elbow pain 167 including a positive Cozen's test, a negative Mill's Test, and an MRI, which revealed no 168 evidence of axial compression on the left side. These findings ruled out cervical 169 myelopathy. Grip strength was then assessed using the dynamometer. Testing also 170 revealed weakness in the left hand when compared to the patient's right hand as well as a 171 positive Cozen's test on the left side displaying signs consistent with lateral epicondylitis. 172 All results can be found in Table 3.

173

#### 174 CLINICAL IMPRESSION 2

175 The examination findings supported the hypothesis of two diagnoses. One, the 176 patient had a diagnosis of cervical radiculopathy on the right side. Secondly, the patient 177 had a diagnosis of lateral epicondylitis on the left side. The patient continues to be a good 178 candidate for this case report because it is hypothesized that the neurohypomobility may 179 be partial etiology of the left-sided lateral epicondylitis symptoms, indicating the 180 relationship with the diagnosis of right-sided cervical radiculopathy. The goal of this case 181 report is to assess how neurodynamic mobilization affects the outcomes when 182 supplemented with additional physical therapy interventions for patients with cervical

183 radiculopathy and contralateral epicondylitis, with this patient fulfilling these criteria. 184 The medical literature on this subject is limited; therefore, the course of this patient's 185 treatment can serve as a possible reference, in regards to utilizing nerve tension stretching 186 in the treatment of cervical radiculopathy with contralateral lateral epicondylitis. 187 The examination was constructed to distinguish mechanical dysfunction in the 188 cervical spine versus peripheral nervous system involvement versus myofascial 189 involvement. The patient's neck and arm examination findings are consistent with the 190 clinical prediction rule for cervical radiculopathy. The components of the test item 191 cluster indicating a cervical radiculopathy based on the clinical prediction rule: a positive 192 Spurling's test A, positive upper limb tension test, positive distraction test, and ipsilateral 193 cervical rotation active range of motion less than 60 degrees. With four positive criteria 194 met, the clinical prediction rule has a sensitivity of .24 (.05-.43), specificity of .99 (0.97-195 1.0), positive likelihood ratio of 30.3 (1.7-538.2) and negative likelihood ratio of 0.77.<sup>13</sup> 196 Additionally, the patient had an additional diagnosis of left-sided lateral 197 epicondylitis. This was diagnosed with a positive Cozen's sign and weak grip strength on 198 the left side when compared to the contralateral side. Peripheral source of pain was ruled 199 out in this patient, as the screening of peripheral joints did not reproduce any symptoms. 200 Taking into consideration the subjective and objective findings, combined with 201 the four positive measures in the clinical prediction rule, it was hypothesized that the C7 202 and C8 nerve root was being compromised at the C6/C7/C8/T1 level, also possibly 203 causing lateral epicondylitis from decreased left wrist extensor muscle activation. Given 204 the patient's diagnosis, the selected category from the Guide to Physical Therapist *Practice* was neck pain with radiating pain,<sup>14</sup> the relevant ICD-9 code for cervical 205

radiculopathy is 723.4, and the movement system disorder was characterized as cervicaldysfunction.

208 The patient's prognosis was based on strong evidence showing the positive and 209 negative contributing factors for the best result in treating cervical radiculopathy with 210 physical therapy interventions.<sup>7</sup> The patient's positive prognosis factors included age and 211 no medical or bio-behavioral co-morbidities to affect the course of treatment. Also, the 212 patient was motivated and interested in participating in physical therapy. Conversely, 213 poor prognostic factors consisted of the severity of the patient's cervical radiculopathy, 214 which may be considered extreme with nerve root compression and evidence of degenerative changes based on the MRI findings.<sup>15</sup> Thus, the patient was expected to 215 216 return to his normal level of functioning in six to eight sessions of physical therapy, as 217 long as he maintained his prescribed HEP.

The interventions and plans provided were based on the clinical practice guideline for neck pain with radiating pain.<sup>2</sup> The evidence to support the patient's findings led to a conclusive decision to follow interventions to target the patient's diagnosis of cervical radiculopathy and contralateral lateral epicondylitis. Additionally, the plan of care and the interventions provided to the patient were adjusted depending on his clinical presentation at each session, as mentioned below. At this point, the plan is to continue with the current treatment of care and proceed with scheduled interventions.

The plan is to address the examination findings with the following physical therapy interventions: median nerve tension stretching, as well as a typical physical therapy plan of care for cervical radiculopathy. This plan of care was guided by the clinical practice guideline for neck pain<sup>2</sup> including posture education and training,

229	stretching, and strengthening exercises, along with cervical, thoracic, and rib joint
230	mobilizations. The patient's progress will be examined with the following outcome
231	measures: short form of the Disability of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand (QuickDASH),
232	Neck Disability Index (NDI), and incorporating the pain analog scale to measure his
233	functional progress every 30 days throughout his course of treatment. Additionally, a
234	reassessment of the findings found in the initial examination will be performed in 30 days
235	or at the time of discharge.
236	

237 **INTERVENTIONS** 

#### 238 <u>Coordination, Communication, and Documentation</u>

239 Communication included documentation in the patient's medical record for each

therapy session so that every member of the healthcare team may have access if needed.

#### 241 Patient, Client, and Family Instruction

At the initial examination, the patient was educated on his current condition, the

243 impairments noted for the patient's baseline, and his plan of care. Additionally, the

244 patient was taught the importance of performing his HEP daily, in order to maintain any

245 gains he had made throughout physical therapy. The importance of certain techniques,

such as upright posture and positioning, including sitting posture and posture with

247 computer ergonomics,<sup>16</sup> were also educated to the patient.

248 <u>Procedural Interventions</u>

249 The patient was scheduled for and compliant with one-hour sessions twice a week

250 for two weeks, followed by once weekly sessions for two additional weeks. He also

251 performed his HEP daily.

#### 252 Cervical Radiculopathy Interventions

253 The procedural interventions focused on manual therapy and therapeutic exercise 254 to regain strength and range of motion for functional activities and to improve his 255 posture. These interventions mostly followed a physical therapy plan of care based off the 256 clinical practice guideline for neck pain.<sup>2</sup> Furthermore, median nerve tension stretching 257 (Figure 1E) was performed at each session and was included in the patient's HEP to 258 determine if it would hasten the recovery process. Of note, the most recent Cochrane 259 Collaboration Review of mobilization and manipulation for mechanical neck disorders 260 included 33 randomized controlled trials, of which 42% were considered high quality. 261 These studies concluded that the most beneficial manipulative interventions for patients 262 with mechanical neck pain should be combined with exercise to reduce pain and improve 263 patient satisfaction. Manipulation and mobilization intervention alone were determined to 264 be less effective than when combined with exercise. Based on the research, each session 265 the patient received manual therapy throughout the course of the therapy. Refer to Table 266 4 for the manual therapy interventions, which correspond with Kaltenborn techniques.<sup>3</sup> 267 Additionally, strengthening exercise for improved upright posture were explained and demonstrated to the patient. These included: deep neck flexor training, scapular 268 269 retraction in the prone position, which progressed to sitting on the third session and further progressed to sitting and using a Theraband<sup>†</sup> on the fifth session, and deep neck 270 271 flexor training (each demonstrated in Figure 1 and parameters provided in Table 4). In a 272 study by Chiu et al, evidence showed that those engaged in motor control training of the 273 deep neck flexors and dynamic strengthening had significantly better improvements in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup>The McKenzie Institute - 432 N Franklin Street Ste 40 Syracuse, NY 13204-15591

their disability scores, pain levels, and isometric neck muscle strength.<sup>8</sup> Of note, the 274 275 physical therapist verbally explained and demonstrated the following stretches: anterior 276 scalene stretch in sitting with first rib towel mobilization (Figure 1), seated thoracic 277 extension with the patient's hands supporting his neck and pointing his elbows to the 278 ceiling in a seated position, and a bilateral pectoral stretch in the doorframe. Although 279 general research does not support the effect of interventions that focus on stretching and 280 flexibility; clinical experience suggests that addressing specific impairments of muscle 281 length is beneficial when combined with a comprehensive program including additional interventions.<sup>2</sup> The self-suboccipital release prescribed included the use of two tennis 282 283 balls placed just below the base of the skull while lying in a supine position. Lastly, the 284 median nerve tension stretching in sitting with elbow flexion and extension oscillations 285 for nerve gliding was done manually by the physical therapist and also revised to be 286 performed at home daily with his HEP. Refer to Figure 1 and Table 4 for further detail. 287 Various changes were made to the plan of interventions, reflecting the patient's 288 progress. One important event displayed in Table 4 is that the first rib traction was 289 discharged after session four because the effects of the patient performing this at home 290 were successful in maintaining the position of the first rib. Also, scapular training 291 progressed from a prone position to a sitting position, with added resistance using an orange Theraband<sup>TM</sup> [\*] in the fifth session, as the patient's scapular muscles continued 292 293 to strengthen. As noted in Table 4 and 5, the patient's confidence grew throughout his 294 sessions and he and the therapist felt comfortable to correctly carry out the exercises at 295 home on a daily basis. Further details about the parameters of the interventions are 296 provided in Table 4 and Figure 1.

# 298 Lateral Epicondylitis Interventions

299	The interventions for lateral epicondylitis included manual cross friction massage
300	at the wrist extensor insertion, wrist extensor stretch, and strengthening of the left wrist
301	extensors. A study by Ackermann and Renström suggested that this is the first line of
302	treatment for lateral epicondylitis in the conservative, therapy-based regimen. <sup>17</sup>
303	Therefore, the patient was prescribed an eccentric wrist extensor strengthening exercise
304	with a 5-pound weight to perform at home daily and examined during therapy sessions.
305	Refer to Figure 1 and Table 5 for details of the patient's interventions and HEP for lateral
306	epicondylitis.
307	
308	<u>OUTCOMES</u>
309	The patient responded well throughout his plan of care, his impairments were
309 310	The patient responded well throughout his plan of care, his impairments were reduced, and he met all of his functional goals prior to being discharged. The patient's
310	reduced, and he met all of his functional goals prior to being discharged. The patient's
310 311	reduced, and he met all of his functional goals prior to being discharged. The patient's impairments and areas of improvement reflected his goals set initially. As therapy
310 311 312	reduced, and he met all of his functional goals prior to being discharged. The patient's impairments and areas of improvement reflected his goals set initially. As therapy progressed, he was able to minimize his treatment sessions to once per week and shift the
<ul><li>310</li><li>311</li><li>312</li><li>313</li></ul>	reduced, and he met all of his functional goals prior to being discharged. The patient's impairments and areas of improvement reflected his goals set initially. As therapy progressed, he was able to minimize his treatment sessions to once per week and shift the focus towards his HEP. At the time of discharge, the patient improved in each of the
<ul> <li>310</li> <li>311</li> <li>312</li> <li>313</li> <li>314</li> </ul>	reduced, and he met all of his functional goals prior to being discharged. The patient's impairments and areas of improvement reflected his goals set initially. As therapy progressed, he was able to minimize his treatment sessions to once per week and shift the focus towards his HEP. At the time of discharge, the patient improved in each of the areas mentioned above.
<ul> <li>310</li> <li>311</li> <li>312</li> <li>313</li> <li>314</li> <li>315</li> </ul>	reduced, and he met all of his functional goals prior to being discharged. The patient's impairments and areas of improvement reflected his goals set initially. As therapy progressed, he was able to minimize his treatment sessions to once per week and shift the focus towards his HEP. At the time of discharge, the patient improved in each of the areas mentioned above. <i>Cervical Radiculopathy Outcomes</i>

also had complained of discomfort while swimming, and reported pain and numbness in

320 his right fingers while at his office desk job during the initial examination. At discharge,

321 the patient reported that he was no longer limited in these activities due to pain. It was

322 noted that the patient had a decreased cervical range of motion, pain with the cervical

323 compression test, and hypertonicity in his postural neck muscles. See Table 2 for a

324 detailed comparison of his outcomes at discharge to his baseline measures.

#### 325 *Lateral Epicondylitis Outcomes*

326 Upon the initial examination, the patient presented with a QuickDASH score of 15%,

327 which improved, to 6.8% at discharge (Appendix 2). Additionally, the patient's grip

328 strength score increased in the left hand from 32.5kg to 35 kg. Functionally, he was

unable to play golf more than once per week, which was less than his baseline. At

discharge, the patient reported that left elbow pain was no longer limiting him in these

activities. See Table 3 for a detailed comparison of his outcomes at discharge to his

baseline measures.

333

#### 334 **DISCUSSION**

The patient progressed well during the eight weeks of outpatient rehabilitation and was on track to attain his goal of playing golf more than once per week, as well as swimming without pain in regards to both his cervical radiculopathy and contralateral lateral epicondylitis symptoms. He developed gains in function and his pain decreased throughout the course of therapy. Furthermore, he consistently showed a motivation and desire to improve. He was subsequently discharged with a plan to maintain his gains in therapy by performing his HEP daily.

342 This case study was created to explain the specific treatment for a patient with 343 cervical radiculopathy and contralateral lateral epicondylitis. It was felt that the focus on 344 median nerve tension stretching had a positive effect on the patient's outcomes in this 345 case. For example, the patient's VAS for neck pain and NDI improved indicating 346 improvement in cervical radiculopathy symptoms. Additionally, The patient's 347 QuickDASH scores, grip strength, and pain with activities improved, indicating 348 reductions in his lateral epicondylitis related symptoms. The patient demonstrated 349 dramatic improvements in pain and neck range of motion, postural strength, and 350 functional activities such as swimming and golfing. The patient was pleased with his 351 progress and reported feeling minimal pain during his golf trip near the end of therapy. 352 Factors that may have positively influenced the patient's outcomes included age, constant 353 motivation, support from his wife, and lack of medical or bio-behavioral co-morbidities 354 that would have affected the course of treatment.<sup>15</sup> The patient had chronic neck pain, 355 numbness, and tingling in his right hand as a result of cervical radiculopathy. The 356 chronicity of the patient's condition may have negatively impacted the outcome since it 357 was difficult to adjust to the new lifestyles necessary to maintain his function and reduce 358 pain levels including daily exercises and consistent upright posture.

The purpose of this case study was to publish the effects of median nerve tension stretching, including a general physical therapy plan of care for a patient with cervical radiculopathy and contralateral lateral epicondylitis, including the plan of care related to the clinical practice guideline for neck pain.<sup>2</sup> The assessment of the patient's median nerve tension using the upper limb nerve tension test changed minimally, although the patient's pain improved tremendously. The patient's progress with the interventions

365	provides merit for further studies to be investigated on this topic. This may be done in
366	various ways by possibly including research on the effect of neurodynamic mobilization
367	on a patient's upper nerve tension test, pain, or even functional activities.
368	For future research, it may be beneficial to determine whether additional patients
369	with similar cases would benefit from neurodynamic mobilization with the radial nerve
370	rather than the median nerve to specifically treat lateral epicondylitis. It would also be
371	interesting to determine if the combination of the two diagnoses studied in the future are
372	related to cervical myelopathy.
373	
374	
375	
376	
377	
378	
379	
380	
381	
382	
383	
384	
385	
386	
387	

388	Refer	ences
389	1.	Kjellmann GA, Oberg B. A randomized clinical trial comparing general exercise,
390		Mckenzie treatment and a control group in patients with neck pain. J Rehabil
391		Med. 2002;34:183-190
392	2.	Neck Pain: Clinical Practice Guidelines Linked to the International Classification
393		of Functioning, Disability, and Health from the Othopaedic Section of the APTA.
394		J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2010:40(9):A1-A26. doi:10.2519/jospt.2010.0305
395	3.	Evjenth O, Kaltenborn T, Morgan D, Vollowitz, E. Manual Mobilization of the
396		Joints: The Spine. Oslo, Norway: Norlo; 200.
397	4.	Williams MA, McCarthy CJ, Chorti A, Cooke MW, Gates S. A systematic review
398		for reliability and validity studies of methods for measuring active and passive
399		cervical range of motion. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 2010 Feb;33(2):138-55.
400		doi: 10.1016/j.jmpt.2009.12.009.
401	5.	Reese NB, Bandy W. Range of motion and Muscle Length Testing. Retrieved
402		from
403		https://books.google.com/books?id=3eJOAQAAQBAJ&dq=measuring+muscle+l
404		ength&source=gbs_navlinks_
405	6.	Abbott, J. H., McCane, B., Herbison, P., Moginie, G., Chapple, C., & Hogarty, T.
406		(2005). Segmental instability: a criterion-related validity study of manual therapy
407		assessment. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 6, 56. http://doi.org/10.1186/1471-
408		2474-6-56
409	7.	Magee D. Cervical Spine. In: Lockey P, ed. Orthopedic Physical Assessment. 5th

410 ed. St. Louis, MO: Elsevier; 2008:130-202.

411	8.	Chui TT, Lam TH, Hedley AJ. A randomized control trial on the efficacy of
412		exercise for patients with chronic neck pain. Spine. 2005;30:E1-7
413	9.	Cuthbert SC, Goodheart GJ. On the reliability and validity of manual muscle
414		testing: a literature review. Chiropractic & Osteopathy. 2007;15:4.
415		doi:10.1186/1746-1340-15-4.
416	10	Magee D. Elbow. In: Lockey P, ed. Orthopedic Physical Assessment. 5th ed. St.
417		Louis, MO: Elsevier; 2008:361-395.
418	11.	Shechtman J, Shechtman O, Gestewitz L, Kimble C. Reliability and Validity of
419		the DynEx Dynamometer. Journal of Hand therapy. 2005; 18: 339-347.
420	12	Mathiowetz V, Rennells C, Donahoe L. Effect of elbow position on grip and key
421		pinch strength. J Hand Surg Am.1985 Sep;10(5):694-7.
422	13	Wainner RS, Fritz JM, Irrgang JJ, Boninger ML, Delitto A, Allison S. Reliability
423		and diagnostic accuracy of the clinical examination and patient self-report
424		measure for cervical radiculopathy. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2003 Jan 1;28(1):52-
425		62.
426	14	Guide to Physical Therapist Practice 3.0. Alexandria, VA: American Physical
427		Therapy Association; 2014. Available at: http://guidetoptpractice.apta.org/.
428	15	Lees F, Turner JW. Natural History and Prognosis of Cervical Spondylosis. Br
429		Med J 1963; 2:1607.
430	16	Lechner D, Kimmelman B. Office Ergonomics: Part II of a 2-Part Feature.
431		Physical Therapy Products [serial online]. August 2013;24(6):12-15. Available
432		from: SPORTDiscus with Full Text, Ipswich, MA. Accessed July 26, 2015.

- 433 17. Ackermann PW, Renström P. Tendinopathy in sport. Sports Health.
- 434 2012;4(3):193–201. doi: 10.1177/1941738112440957.
- 435 18. Ellis RF, Hing WA. Neural Mobilization: A Systematic Review of Randomized
- 436 Controlled Trials with an Analysis of Therapeutic Efficacy. *The Journal of*
- 437 *Manual & Manipulative Therapy*. 2008;16(1):8-22
- 438

# 439 TABLES AND FIGURES

TABLE 1. SYSTEMS REVIEW		
Cardiovascular/Pulmonary	Mild Atrial Stenosis under control, High Blood Pressure controlled with medications	
Musculoskeletal	Neck Stiffness and bilateral knee Surgery in~ 1986	
Neuromuscular	Tingling and numbness in digit 4/5; C7 Dermatome Numbness	
Integumentary	No Deficits Noted; history of Basal Cell Carcinoma	
Communication	No Deficits Notes	
Affect, Cognition, Language, Learning Style	Alert and Oriented X 3, No cognitive Deficits, language barrier or preferred learning style	

TABLE 2. CERVOUTCOMES	TABLE 2. CERVICAL RADICULOPATHY EXAMINATION RESULTS &OUTCOMES				
Tests & Measures	Initial Examination Results	Outcomes	Psychometric Values		
Cervical Range of Motion: measured with CROM	Measured in Degrees	Measured in Degrees	R=.8089 <sup>4</sup>		
Flexion	50	60			
Extension	36 with increased symptoms in R UE and increased	42			

	numbness in		
	digit 4/5		
Side bend	14	24	
Right			
Side bend Left	22	38	
Rotation Right	51	62	
Rotation Left	68	74	
Muscle	Measured on a	Measured on a	$R = .58^5$
Length:	4 point scale	4 point scale	$ICC = .62^{5}$
8	likert mild,	likert mild,	
	minimal,	minimal,	
	moderate, or	moderate, or	
	severe	severe	
	decrease in	decrease in	
	muscle in	muscle in	
	specific	specific	
	positions <sup>16</sup>	positions <sup>16</sup>	
	Based on	Based on	
	qualified	qualified	
	therapist's	therapist's	
	clinical	clinical	
	experience	experience	
Suboccipital:	Right:	Right:	
····· <b>·</b> ···	Moderate	minimal	
	Left: Moderate	Left: minimal	
Upper	Right:	Right:	
Trapezius:	Moderate	Moderate	
	Left: Moderate	Left: Moderate	
	+		
Scalene	Right: Severe	Right:	
muscles:	Left: Severe	Moderate	
		Left: Moderate	
Sternocleidom	Right:	Right:	
astoid:	Moderate +	Moderate +	
	Left: Severe	Left: Moderate	
		+	
Levator	Right: Mild	Right: Mild	
Scapulae:	Left: Mild	Left: Mild	
STRUCTURA	(0=no	(0=no	Structural Restriction
L	movement,	movement,	using the application
RESTRICTIO	1=hypomobilit	1=hypomobilit	of a posteroanterior
NS: Passive	y, 2=slight	y, 2=slight	(PA) pressure to the
physiological	hypomobility,	hypomobility,	joint <sup>3</sup>
intervertebral	3=normal,	3=normal,	Specificity 99.5%;
movements	4=slight	4=slight	CI 97–100% <sup>6</sup>

	hypermobility, 5=moderate hypermobility, 6=excessive hypermobility)	hypermobility, 5=moderate hypermobility, 6=excessive hypermobility)	Construct Validity for function: r=88 <sup>7</sup>
First rib: elevated	Right: 1+ Left: 2	Right: 3 Left: 2	
Upper Cervical Mid Cervical:	Right: 1+ Left: 2 Right: 3+	Right: 2 Left: 2 Right: 2	
Lower	Left: 4 Right: 2	Left: 2 Right: 2	
Cervical:	Left: 1+	Left: 1+	
Cervico- Thoracic Junction:	Right: 1 Left: 1	Right: 1 Left: 1	
Thoracic with associated rib mobility	Right: 1 Left: 1	Right: 1 Left: 1	
<u>Special Tests</u>			
Cervical Distraction	Positive	Negative- no symptoms present for testing	Reliability: k=.88 <sup>8</sup> Specificity:.90; Sensitivity; .44 -LR: .62; +LE: 4.4 <sup>8</sup>
Spurling's test A	Right: Positive Left: Negative	Right: Nagitve Left: Negative	Reliability: k=0.62 <sup>7</sup> Specificity: .74; Sensitivity: .72 .50; -LR: .67; +LR: 1.92 <sup>7</sup>
Brachial Plexus Compression Test	Right: Positive Left:: Negative	Right: Negative Left: Negative	Reliability and Validity unknown <sup>7</sup>
Median Nerve Tension	Right: Positive- Tested in sitting with - 20 degrees from full elbow extension Left: Negative	Right: positive- Tested in sitting with - 15 degrees from full elbow extension Left: Negative	Reliability: k=0.83 <sup>7</sup> Specificity: .33 Sensitivity: .72 -LR: .85; +LR: 1.07 <sup>7</sup>

Visual Anal	og	8/10	1/10	Reliability and
Scale	Ũ			validity unknown
Neck Disabi	•	2/50=4%	2/50=4%	r=.897 Validity: r=.77
Index (NDI)				Specificity: .59;
Interpreted	as:			Sensitivity: .52
0- Table 3: Latera	l Epico	ondvlitis Examir	ation Results & Outco	<u>-LR: .81: +LR: 1.27<sup>7</sup></u>
Tests &		l Examination	Outcomes	Psychometric Values
Measures	Resul	ts		
Resisted	•	5=Normal	• 5=Normal	Unknown
Isometric		4=Good	4=Good	Reliability and
Movements of		3=Fair	3=Fair	Validity <sup>9</sup>
Upper		2=Poor	2=Poor	
Extremity		1=Trace	1=Trace	
Myotomes		0=Not Palpable	0=Not Palpable	
Upper	•	Right: 5	Right: 5	
Trapezius		Left: 5	<ul> <li>Kight. 5</li> <li>Left: 5</li> </ul>	
(C4)		Lett. 5	• Lon. 5	
Biceps(C5)	•	Right: 5	• Right: 5	
	•	Left: 5	• Left: 5	
Supraspina	•	Right: 4	• Right: 5	
tus (C5)	•	Left: 4+	• Left: 5	
Wrist	•	Right: 5	• Right: 5	
Extensors	•	Left: 4	• Left: 5	
(C6)				
Finger	•	Right: 5	• Right: 5	
flexion/ extension	•	Left: 4 with	• Left: 5	
(C7,C8)		pain		
Finger	•	Right: 5	• Right: 5	
Abduction	•	Left: 4	• Left: 5	
(T1)				
Special Tests				
Cozen's test	•	Right:	• Right:	Reliability and
		Positive	Negative	Validity
	•	Left:	• Left:	unknown <sup>10</sup>
		Negative	Negative	0 -10
Quick Dash	•	15%	• 6.8%	• r=.96 <sup>10</sup>
				• Construct
				Validity for function:
				$r=88^{10}$
				100

Table 3. Lateral Epicondylitis Examination Results & Outcomes							
Tests & Measures	Initial Examination Results	Outcomes	Psychometric Values				
Resisted Isometric Movements of Upper Extremity Myotomes	5=Normal 4=Good 3=Fair 2=Poor 1=Trace 0=Not Palpable	5=Normal 4=Good 3=Fair 2=Poor 1=Trace 0=Not Palpable	Unknown Reliability and Validity <sup>3</sup>				
Upper Trapezius (C4)	Right: 5 Left: 5	Right: 5 Left: 5					
Biceps(C5) Supraspinatus	Right: 5 Left: 5 Right: 4	Right: 5 Left: 5 Right: 5					
(C5)	Left: 4+	Left: 5					
Wrist Extensors (C6)	Right: 5 Left: 4	Right: 5 Left: 5					
Finger flexion/ extension (C7,C8)	Right: 5 Left: 4 with pain	Right: 5 Left: 5					
Finger Abduction (T1)	Right: 5 Left: 4	Right: 5 Left: 5					
<u>Special Tests</u>							
Cozen's test	Right: Positive Left: Negative	Right: Negative Left: Negative	Reliability and Validity unknown <sup>5</sup>				
Quick Dash	15%	6.8%	r=.96 <sup>3</sup> Construct Validity for function: r=88 <sup>3</sup>				
Grip Strength	32.5 kg	35 kg	ICC= .97 <sup>22</sup>				
C=Cervical Vertebra R=reliability, LR= likelihood ratio, ICC=interclass correlation coefficient							

# **TABLE 4. PROCEDURAL INTERVENTIONS FOR CERVICALRADICULOPATHY**

Intervention	Tx Day 1	Tx Day 2	Tx Day 3	Tx Day 4	Tx Day 5	Tx Day 6
Median Nerve Tension stretching	2 min	2 min	2 min	2 min	2 min	2 min
T1-T6 bilateral facet traction	5 min	5 min	5 min	5 min	5 min	5min
Occipito- atlanto joint Dorsal Caudal Glide	2 min Grade 3	2 min Grade 3	2 min Grade 3	2 min Grade 3	2 min Grade 3	2 min Grade 3
First Rib mobilization on right	2 min Grade 3	2 min Grade 3	2 min Grade 3	Modified for HEP	Modified for HEP	Modified for HEP
C7 Dorsal Caudal glide bilateral	2 min Grade 3	2 min Grade 3	2 min Grade 3	2 min Grade 3	2 min Grade 3	2 min Grade 3
Anterior Scalene stretch with first rib towel mobilization				2X 30 sec bilateral	2X 30 sec bilateral	2X 30 sec bilateral
Seated Thoracic Extension	10 times every hour during the day	10 times every hour during the day	10 times every hour during the day	10 times every hour during the day	10 times every hour during the day	10 times every hour during the day
Self suboccipital release with tennis balls	2 minutes 1 time per day	2 minutes 1 time per day	2 minutes 1 time per day	2 minutes 1 time per day	2 minutes 1 time per day	2 minutes 1 time per day
Median Nerve Tension	30 seconds 2 times	30 seconds 2 times	30 seconds 2 times	30 seconds 2 times	30 seconds 2 times	30 seconds 2 times

stretch bilateral (Figure 1E)	per day	per day	per day	per day	per day	per day	
Deep neck flexor training	10 reps for ten seconds	10 reps for ten seconds	10 reps for ten seconds	10 reps for ten seconds	10 reps for ten seconds	10 reps for ten seconds	
Scapular Retraction	10 reps 3 sets (prone)	10 reps 3 sets (prone)	10 reps 3 sets (prone progressed to sitting)	10 reps 3 sets (sitting)	10 reps 3 sets (sitting)	10 reps 3 sets (Sitting progressed with orange theraband)	
<ul> <li>** indicates inclusion in home exercise program</li> <li>Tx: Treatment min: Minutes T: Thoracic vertebra C: Cervical Vertebra Reps:</li> <li>Repetitions</li> </ul>							

TABLE 5. PROCEDURAL INTERVENTIONS FOR LATERAL EPICONDYLITIS							
Interventio n	Tx Day 1	Tx Day 2	Tx Day 3	Tx Day 4	Tx Day 5	Tx Day 6	
Cross Friction Massage to lateral left wrist extensor tendon at origin (Figure 1B)	Until no tenderness is reported by patient						
Eccentric Wrist Extensor Strengthen ing (Figure 1D)*	10 reps 3 sets						

	Tx: Treatm	3 reps inclusion in h ent <b>min</b> : Min	3 reps ome exercise	3 reps	3 reps	3 reps	30 seconds 3 reps
445	Repetitions						
446							
447							
448							
449							
450							
451							
452							
453							
454							
455							
456							
457							
458							
459							
460							
461							
462							
463							

Cervical Radiculopathy Interventions Lateral Epicondylitis Interventions A. Computer posture training B. Cross friction massage to wrist extensors C. Prone Scapular Retraction D. Eccentric Wrist Extensor Training E. Median Nerve Tension Stretch F. Wrist Extensor Stretch

Figure 1. Therapeutic interventions for cervical radiculopathy (A, C, E) and lateral epicondylitis (B, D, F)

466 467

465

468 <u>APPENDICES:</u>469



