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Unique

In the United States, more than 500,000 rotator cuff repairs and shoulder arthroscopies are performed annually.1

In this framework, comparable signs are identified at initial shoulder arthroscopies are performed annually.1

However, there is no consensus about the most appropriate patient-centric and focuses on frequent reassessment to guide the clinical reasoning utilized during the treatment of a patient following arthroscopic rotator cuff repair (ARCR). Through careful clinical reasoning, anchored by the establishment and monitoring of comparable signs, appropriate MT techniques were selected and progressed, optimizing this patient's outcome. These findings suggest that further studies should be conducted to examine the rationale underlying rehabilitation progression, with an emphasis on applied clinical reasoning as an important adjunct to clinical protocols driven by physiologic tissue healing.

Post-operatively, accessory joint mobilizations (AJM) help to prevent adhesions and may decrease excitability of central nociceptive pathways.2,3 However, there is no consensus about the most appropriate postoperative utilization and timing of MT following surgery.2,3 Maitland describes a framework for clinical decision making that is patient-centric and focuses on frequent reassessment to guide the application of manual therapy.4 In this framework, comparable signs are identified at initial evaluation, and refer to any combination of pain, stiffness, and/or spasm during a specific movement. An appropriate MT technique is selected and utilized to treat the comparable sign; the comparable sign is reassessed to monitor patient response, and determine the proper progression.

Purpose

The purpose of this case report was to detail the assessment and clinical reasoning utilized during the treatment of a patient following arthroscopic rotator cuff repair (ARCR), with manual therapy intervention guided by the principles of the Maitland approach.

Description

- 55-year-old male food preparer
- Chief Complaint: Gradual onset right shoulder pain and stiffness over the past 25 years.
- Medical Diagnosis: Arthritic Rotator Cuff Repair
- Musculoskeletal Impairments: Limited range of motion (ROM) in all planes, global shoulder girdle weakness, limited joint mobility in abduction, flexion, and internal rotation, and pain.
- Comparable Signs: Shoulder abduction, flexion, and internal rotation

Observations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numeric Pain Rating Scale</th>
<th>Initial Examination</th>
<th>Discharge Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>3/10 Resting Pain</td>
<td>0/10 Resting Pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/10 Worst Pain</td>
<td>0/10 Worst Pain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Range of Motion
- Active Shoulder Flexion
- Active Shoulder Abduction
- Active Shoulder Internal Rotation
- Active Shoulder External Rotation
- Passive Shoulder Flexion
- Passive Shoulder Abduction
- Passive Shoulder Internal Rotation
- Passive Shoulder External Rotation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manual Muscle Testing</th>
<th>Initial Examination</th>
<th>Discharge Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder Flexion</td>
<td>3/5</td>
<td>5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder Abduction</td>
<td>3/5</td>
<td>5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder Internal Rotation</td>
<td>3/5</td>
<td>5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder External Rotation</td>
<td>3/5</td>
<td>5/5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Outcomes

- Frequent reassessment helped identify the patient’s comparable signs, which were consistent with his chief complaints.
- Frequent reassessment identified a pain dominant disorder weeks 1 to 3 and a stiffness dominant disorder weeks 4 to 8.
- Identification of dominant disorders aided in the selection of appropriate manual therapy techniques and grades of motion.
- The use of Maitland clinical reasoning, in concert with guidelines based on physiologic healing, allowed for maximum progress without undue pain and stress for the patient, since all progressions were individualized based on response to treatment.
- After 18 treatment sessions, the patient demonstrated improvement in pain, A/PROM, and upper extremity strength. He was able to complete self care tasks and was able to return to work without restriction.

Conclusion

This case report demonstrated the use of Maitland based clinical decision making in the assessment and identification of movement-related disorders following ARCR. Through careful clinical reasoning, the establishment and monitoring of comparable signs, appropriate MT techniques were selected and progressed, optimizing this patient’s outcome. These findings suggest that further studies should be conducted to examine the rationale underlying rehabilitation progression, with an emphasis on applied clinical reasoning as an important adjunct to clinical protocols driven by physiologic tissue healing.
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